Cytolysin potential of some of the di and triterpenoids from the seeds of *Guilandina bonducella* L.
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ABSTRACT

Cytolysin potential of the constituents of *Guilandina bonducella* seeds was evaluated. For this purpose, di and triterpenes had been investigated. Two di and four triterpenes from the EtOAc extract of *G. bonducella* seeds were isolated, purified by chromatographic and re-crystallization methods and identified by comparative spectroscopic data. Cytolysin potential of these compounds was carried out on brine shrimp’s (*Artemia salina*) larvae (nauplii), compared with positive controlled colchicine’s reaction and evaluated by LD$_{50}$.

Diterpenes (neocaesalpin C, neocaesalpin D) and triterpenes (α-amyrin, β-amyrin, lupeol and lupeol acetate) exhibited a marked cytolytic reaction, even though their intensities differ from each other and with the colchicine. EtOAc extract of *G. bonducella* seeds contained diterpenes (neocaesalpin-C and neocaesalpin-D) and triterpenes (α-amyrin, β-amyrin, lupeol and lupeol acetate). These compounds had cytotoxic ability to the brine shrimp’s larvae.
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INTRODUCTION

*Guilandina bonducella* L. or *Caesalpinia bonduc* (L.) Roxb. or *Caesalpinia bonducella* (L.) Fleming or *Caesalpinia crista auct.* Amer., commonly called as ‘fever nut’ ‘bonduc nut’ or ‘nicker nut’ (Katkaranja) by the indigenous people. It
is an important medicinal plant, belongs to the family Caesalpiniaceae. It had been reported in phratry medicine and in ancient Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani and Homoeopathic Holy Scripturies\textsuperscript{1, 2}. This plant is a prickly shrub or a small tree, distributed in subtropical and temperate regions of Pakistan and abundantly established itself in the Punjab Province during the bedewed season\textsuperscript{3}.

Leaves and roots of this plant had been extensively employed in canonical medicines for the treatment of enumerating diseases\textsuperscript{4-7}. It had been investigated that various solvent extracts of leaves of this plant exhibited substantial antidiarrhoeal, cytotoxic and antibacterial activities\textsuperscript{4}. Anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antimicrobial, antifungal, antipyretic, analgesic, anti-asthmatic, anti-diabetic, anti-filarial, anti-tumor, adaptogenic, anti-convulsant, anti-spasmodic, nootropic, anti-feedant, anti-amoebic, anti-oestrogenic, anxiolytic, diuretic, hepato-protective and immuno-modulatory activities of the seeds of this herb had also been reported\textsuperscript{4-9}. The consequences of methanolic extract of the whole herb on the lipid peroxidation (LPO), glutathione content (GSH), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) was carried out by Gupta et al. and concluded that a significant diminish in the intensity of the tumor and packed feasible cell counts were detected\textsuperscript{8,9} while its impression on hematology and hepato-renal functions in mice were ascertained by Kumar et al.\textsuperscript{11,12}.

As regards the presence of phtochemical ingredients were concerned, phtochemical compounds belonging to different classes such as alkaloids, glycosides, di- and triterpenoids, saponins, phytosterols, phenolic compounds, flavonoids and carbohydrates from various solvent extracts had been isolated from various species of the genus \textit{Guilandina}\textsuperscript{2,3}. Phytochemical screening of \textit{G. bonducella}'s leaves and seeds, revealed the presence of non-toxic\textsuperscript{13-17} and cytotoxic flavonoids\textsuperscript{18}. Large number of diterpenenoid\textsuperscript{13-15, 16, 17, 19-25, 27-31} and triterpenoid\textsuperscript{32, 33} compounds chiefly from the ethanolic extracts of the seeds and other parts of this plant had previously been isolated and characterized by many research workers. Many fatty acids triglycerides, including palmatic, stearic, octadec-4-enoic and octadeca-2,4-dienoic acids from the seed kernels of this species had also been isolated and identified\textsuperscript{35}.

No attempt had been made to isolate and evaluate the harmful effects of its constituents. Our phytochemical and biological investigation of local natural products have led to the isolation of terpenoid compounds from \textit{G. bonducella} seeds. In the present communication, we delineate the cytolysin potential of some of the di and triterpenoids, isolated from the seeds of this species, on brine shrimp's (\textit{Artemia salina} Leach) larvae (nauplii) followed by fractionation, to isolate and characterize its active compounds whose cytolysin potential was evaluated by computing their LD\textsubscript{50}.
METHODOLOGY

General Experimental Procedures

Unless otherwise stated, the chemicals used were of analytical grades. Concentrations were carried out under reduced pressure at bath temperatures not exceeding 50°C. Melting points were determine on Perfit apparatus with the help of open capillary tubing and were unadmonished. UV spectra of the compounds were measured on Hitachi 270-30 spectrophotometer in MeOH while IR spectra were procured as KBr disc or as thin films on NaCl discs on Pye-Unicam SP-8-400 spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained in deuterated DMSO-d₆ solvent on Bruker NMR at 270 MHz using trimethylsilylane (TMS) as an internal standard. ¹³C NMR spectra were carried out on Bruker AM-300 NMR spectrometers with 75 MHz, at 27±1.5°C and with 0.2-0.5 mM/ml sample concentrations, using 10 mm tubes and deuterated DMSO-d₆ as a solvent. Trimethylsilylane (TMS) was used as an internal reference. Chemical shifts were calculated for both ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra in δ (ppm). EI and FD mass spectra were recorded on a Varian MAT-312 double focusing mass spectrometer using direct inlet method. FAB (positive) in glycerin, were conducted on JEOL JMS-110 spectrometer. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh ASTM No. 7734 of E. Merck, Damstadt, Germany), monitoring its fractions by analytical TLC. Both the analytical and preparatory TLC were performed with silica gel PF₂₅₄₃₆₆ (from E. Merck, Damstadt Germany) on 10×20 or 20×20 cm glass plates. Analytical TLC with a depth of 0.25 mm thicknesses and preparatory TLC with 0.75 mm thick was utilized, where the samples were applied as thin sports on analytical TLC and as narrow bands on preparatory TLC. Spots on chromatograms were visualized by a combination of UV fluorescence, exposing on 254/365 nm UV light, or with I₂ vapors, or with anisaldehyde / H₂SO₄ spraying reagent or with Liebermann-Burchard spraying reagent. The separated bands on preparatory TLC were scraped off and eluted with methanol.

Plant Materials

Ripened seeds of *Guilindina bonducella* L. were accumulated from the uncultivated and wasted areas of Lahore region of Punjab (Central plain areas of Pakistan) in July / August 2018. These were authenticated by Prof. Dr. Zaheer-Ud-Khan, in-charge herbarium, Department of Botany, Government College University, Lahore, Pakistan. A voucher specimen of the sample (No. P-cog. 0156) was kept in Herbarium of Pharmacognosy Section, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Central Punjab, Lahore for further reference. The seeds were air dried at laboratory temperature and stored in an amber glass bottle after pulverizing.
Extraction and Isolation

8.0 kg of seed powder was soaked in MeOH for three weeks. It was percolated and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure then to dryness to generate dark-brown 130g of a residue. The dried residue was partitioned between light petroleum ether (40–60°C) and H₂O. The aqueous layer was further concentrated and segmented between EtOAc and H₂O. The EtOAc extract was condensed by removing the solvent under reduced pressure and 461g (about 5.75% yield) of the material was obtained. 300g of the EtOAc extract was incorporated with a minimum amount of silica gel using methanol and after drying, it was pulverized into a fine powder. It was then adsorbed over silica gel column and chromato- graphed in light petroleum ether (40–60°C). The column was eluded with 100% light petroleum ether, petroleum ether-CHCl₃, CHCl₃ and CHCl₃-MeOH, while increasing the amount of latter solvent gradually. The fractions holding similar compounds were pooled after monitored by analytical TLC. The pooled fractions were evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure.

Compound-1

Compound-1 was eluded from the silica gel column with light petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (95:5) with the initial 50 fractions (50ml each) and by preparatory TLC after using petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (90:10). It was obtained as colorless prisms like crystals (85mg, with 0.03% yield) and had mp. 262-264°C after re-crystallization with hot MeOH. This compound gave a single spot on three-dimensional TLC when petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (70:30, 80:20 and 90:10) were used as solvent systems. [α]D²⁸ = 52°(c = 0.036). FABMS, m/z: 489.2116 (Calcd for C₂₄H₃₆O₉ Na+: 489.2100). EIMS, m/z (rel. intens. %): 448 M⁺–H₂O (1), 430 M⁺–2×H₂O (11), 406 M⁺–CH₃COOH (25), 388 M⁺–CH₃COOH – H₂O (17), 370 M⁺–CH₃COOH –2×H₂O (53), 346 M⁺–2×CH₃COOH (44), 328 M⁺–2×CH₃COOH – H₂O (89), 310 M⁺–2×CH₃COOH – 2H₂O (100). IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3586 (broad OH), 2948, 1734 (a strong ester group), 1364, 1257, 1229, 1036. UV λ max (MeOH) nm (logε): 216 (4.16). ¹HNMR, δ: 5.68 (H-1, d, J = 2.9), 5.56 (H-2, ddd, J = 2.8, 4.2, 13.4), 2.32 (H-3α, dd, J = 13.1, 13.1), 1.40 (H-3β, dd, J = 4.2, 13.4), 2.43 (H-6α, dd, J = 5.8, 13.5), 1.88-1.94 (H-6β, m, J = 10.9, 13.1), 4.70 (H-7, ddd, J = 5.6, 10.6, 10.6), 1.93-1.97 (H-8, m, J = 10.6, 12.9), 3.31 (H-9, ddd, J = 2.6, 12.5, 12.5), 32.52 (H-11, dd, J = 2.7, 12.6), 1.38 (dd, J = 12.7, 12.7), 3.88 (H-14, dq, J = 4.6, 7.3), 5.82 (H-15, s), 1.58 (Me-17, d, J = 7.3), 1.22 (Me-18, s), 1.14 (Me-19, s), 1.18 (Me-20, s), 1.98(CH₃ COO, s), 2.13 (CH₃ COO, s). ¹³CNMR, δ: 74.4 (C-1), 67.6 (C-2), 35.4 (C-3), 40.5 (C-4), 78.6 (C-5), 36.5 (C-6), 66.2 (C-7), 47.9 (C-8), 32.6 (C-9), 45.5 (C-10), 38.6 (C-11), 106.4 (C-12), 171.3 (C-13), 33.6 (C-14), 113.6 (C-15), 175.2 (C-16), 13.2 (C-17), 28.2 (C-18), 25.6 (C-19), 17.8 (C-20), 170.5, 170.8 (CH₃ CO), 20.6,
21.2 (CH₃CO) (Fig. 1). The compound-1 was identified by comparing its spectral data with the reported data and with CAS ID = C00033244 as neocaesalpin C₁⁹.

**Compound-2**

Compound-2 was eluded from the column with light petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (85:15) with further 51 to 90 fractions (50ml each) and by preparatory TLC after using petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (85:15). 153mg (with 0.052% yield) of this compound was obtained as colorless needle like crystals with mp 213-215°C after recrystallization from hot EtOH. It appeared on TLC at hRf = 40 (with petroleum ether/CHCl₃ 90:15) and gave a single spot on three-dimensional TLC when petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (70:30, 80:20 and 90:15) were used as solvent systems. [α]²⁵ +71.6°(c = 0.091). FABMS m/z: 433.2235 (Calcd for C₂₄H₃₂O₇. H+: 433.2227).

EIMS, m/z (rel. intens. %): 414 (M⁻‒H₂O (7), 372 M⁻‒CH₃COOH (10), 354 M⁻‒CH₃COOH H₂O (42), 312 M⁻‒2×CH₃COOH (59), 294 M⁻‒2×CH₃COOH H₂O (100). IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 2946 (broad OH), 1790, 1769, 1375, 1259, 1234. UV λmax (MeOH) nm (logε): 281 (4.26). ^H NMR, δ: 5.70 (H-1, d, J = 3.2), 5.62 (H-2, ddd, J = 3.1, 4.8, 13.1), 2.34 (H-3α, dd, J = 13.1, 13.1), 1.38 (H-3β, dd, J = 4.8, 13.1), 1.70 (H-6α, ddd, J = 2.1, 2.5, 12.8), 1.58 (H-6β, ddd, J = 4.2, 12.8, 12.8), α 2.01-2.07m; β 1.18 m(H-7), 1.75 (H-8, ddd, J = 4.2, 10.3, 10.3), 3.42 (H-9, br d, J = 10.3), 5.92 (H-11, br s), 2.68 (H-14, dq, J = 4.3, 7.3), 5.87 (H-15, d, J = 0.8), 0.92 (H-17, d, J = 7.4), 1.13 (Me-18, s), 1.04 (Me-19, s), 1.06 (Me-20, s), 2.03 (CH₃COO, s), 2.09 (CH₃COO, s). ^C NMR, δ: 73.4 (C-1), 67.8 (C-2), 36.3 (C-3), 40.8 (C-4), 76.8 (C-5), 26.6 (C-6), 23.7 (C-7), 37.8 (C-8), 36.6 (C-9), 45.5 (C-10), 111.3 (C-11), 151.5 (C-12), 161.9 (C-13), 33.6 (C-14), 110.9 (C-15), 170.8 (C-16), 14.6 (C-17), 27.4 (C-18), 24.8 (C-19), 19.8 (C-20), 170.3⁶, 170.5⁶ (CH₃CO), 20.6, 20.8 (CH₃CO) (Fig. 1). The compound-2 was identified by comparing its spectra data with the reported data and with CAS ID = C00033245 as neocaesalpin D₁⁹.

**Compound-3**

Compound-3 was eluded from the column with light petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (80:25) with further 91 to 135 fractions (50ml each) and by preparatory TLC after using petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (80:25). 73mg of this compound (0.025 % yield) was obtained as light yellow needles and with mp 183-184°C after re-crystallization from hot acetone. This compound indicated a single spot on three-dimensional TLC when petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (60:40, 70:30 and 80:20) were used as solvent systems. EIMS, m/z (rel. intens. %): 426 [C₃₀H₅₀O, M⁺] (24), 411 [M-Me⁺] (18), 408 [M-H₂O]⁺ (24), 218 [M-C₄H₆O₃]⁺ (100), 207 [M-C₁₆H₂₇O]⁺ (15), 203 [M-C₁₅H₂₇O]⁺ (56) and 189 [M-C₁₆H₂₉O]⁺ (68). IR (Thin film) cm⁻¹: 3512 (broad OH), 3058, 1638 and 822 (trisubstituted double bond). ^H NMR, δ: 1.98 (ddd, J = 8.1, 9.6, 4.0Hz, H-1), 1.92 (m, H-2), 3.13 (dd, J = 5.5, 8.0Hz, H-3), 1.27
(m, H-5), 1.52 (m, H-6), 1.31 (m, H-7), 1.57 (dd, J = 2.1, 9.1 H-9), 1.67 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.5 H-9), 5.24 (m, H-12), 1.51 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.5 H-15), 1.30 (dd, J = 16.1, 8.3 H-16), 1.40 (m, H-19), 1.57 (dd, J = 2.1, 9.1 H-21), 1.65 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.5 H-22), 0.84 (brs, H-23), 0.95 (brs, H-24), 0.90 (brs, H-25), 0.74 (brs, H-26), 1.06 (brs, H-27), 0.78 (brs, H-28), 0.92 (3H, d, J = 6.7 H-29), 0.81 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, H-30). ¹H NMR, δ: 40.1 (C-1), 27.5 (C-2), 78.1 (C-3), 37.5 (C-4), 55.6 (C-5), 20.7 (C-6), 32.7 (C-7), 40.6 (C-8), 48.1 (C-9), 37.5 (C-10), 23.6 (C-11), 124.3 (C-12), 138.7 (C-13), 41.5 (C-14), 28.8 (C-15), 27.7 (C-16), 34.1 (C-17), 59.8 (C-18), 40.1 (C-19), 39.2 (C-20), 31.2 (C-21), 42.8 (C-22), 28.6 (C-23), 16.1 (C-24), 16.2 (C-25), 17.5 (C-26), 24.1 (C-27), 28.8 (C-28), 17.5 (C-29), 20.7 (C-30) (Fig. 1). The compound-3 was recognized by comparing its spectra data with the reported data and with CAS ID = C0003737 as α-Amynrin.³³

**Compound-4**

Compound-4 was obtained from the column with light petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (80:30) with further 136 to 175 fractions (50ml each) and by preparatory TLC after using petroleum ether/CHCl₃/MeOH (75:25:3). 67 mg of this compound (0.023% yield) was obtained as light yellow needles after re-crystallization from hot EtOH and with mp. 197–198°C. This compound showed a single spot on three-dimensional TLC when petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (60:45, 70:35 and 80:25) were used as solvent systems. EIMS, m/z (rel. intens. %): 426 [C₃₀H₅₀O, M⁺] (16), 411 [M-Me]⁺ (17), 408 [M-H₂O]⁺ (18), 393 [M-Me-H₂O]⁺ (34), 257 [M-C₁₁H₂₁O]⁺ (20), 218 [M-C₁₄H₂₄O]⁺ (100), 207 [M-C₁₆H₂₇O]⁺ (11), 203 [M-C₁₅H₂₇O]⁺ (46) and 189 [M-C₁₆H₂₉O]⁺ (58). IR, (Thin film)cm⁻¹: 3510 (broad OH), 3055, 1636 and 820 (trisubstituted double bond). ¹H NMR, δ: 1.31 (dd, J = 8.2, 6.1, 11.1 H-1), 1.60 (m, H-2), 1.37 (m, H-6), 1.40 (m, H-7), 1.87 (dd, J = 4.7, 3.5 H-9), 1.60 (dd, J = 3.1, 4.0 H-2, H-15), 1.53 (m, H-16), 2.77 (dd, J = 11.3, 6.2 H-18), 1.37 (m, H-19), 1.87 (dd, J = 4.7, 3.5 H, H-21), 1.45 (dd, 3.5, 4.0 H-2, H-22), 1.03 (3H, s, Me-23), 0.82 (6H, s, Me-24), 0.94 (3H, s, Me-25), 1.05 (3H, s, Me-26), 1.12 (3H, s, Me-27), 0.80 (brs, Me-29) and 0.91 (3H, brs, Me-30). ¹³C NMR, δ: 40.1 (C-1), 27.7 (C-2), 78.3 (C-3), 37.5 (C-4), 55.4 (C-5), 20.7 (C-6), 32.7 (C-7), 39.2 (C-8), 48.3 (C-9), 37.5 (C-10), 23.6 (C-11), 122.6 (C-12), 145.5 (C-13), 41.5 (C-14), 27.6 (C-15), 27.7 (C-16), 34.1 (C-17), 48.1 (C-18), 48.0 (C-19), 31.2 (C-20), 34.0 (C-21), 37.5 (C-22), 28.6 (C-23), 16.1 (C-24), 16.0 (C-25), 17.5 (C-26), 27.5 (C-27), 28.6 (C-28), 32.7 (C-29), 23.6 (C-30) (Fig. 1). The compound-4 was identified by comparing its spectra with reported data and with CAS ID = C0003738 as β-Amynrin³³.
Compound-5

Compound-5 was received from the column with light petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (60:40) from further 176 to 216 fractions (50 ml each) and by preparatory TLC after using petroleum ether/CHCl₃/MeOH (70:30:5). 149 mg of this compound (0.051% yield) was obtained as white needles after re-crystallization from hot Me₂CO-MeOH (1:1 mixture) and with mp. 216–217°C. This compound displayed a single spot on three-dimensional TLC when petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (60:45, 70:35, 80:25) were used as solvent systems. EIMS, m/z (rel. intens. %): 426 [C₃₀H₅₀O, M⁺]²⁺, 411 [M-Me]⁺, 408 [M-H₂O]⁺, 393 [M-Me-H₂O]⁺, 385 [M-41]⁺, 220 [M-C₁₅H₂₆]⁺, 218 [M-C₁₄H₂₄O]⁺, 207 [M-C₁₆H₂₇]⁺, 189 [M-C₁₆H₂₉O]⁺, 139 [M-C₂₁H₃₅O]⁺. IR, (Thin film) cm⁻¹: 3452 (broad OH), 3076, 1645 and 883 (exomethylene group). ¹HNMR, δ: 4.78 and 4.65 (2H, brs, 1H each, H-29), 3.22 (1H, dd, J = 9.6 Hz, J = 4.7 Hz, H-3), 1.64 (3H, brs, Me-30), 1.07 (3H, s, Me-26), 0.96 (3H, s, Me-23), 0.98 (3H, s, Me-27), 0.84 (3H, s, Me-25), 0.81 (3H, s, Me-28) and 0.80 (3H, s, Me-24). ¹³CNMR, δ: 38.63 (C-1), 27.53 (C-2), 78.82 (C-3), 38.75 (C-4), 55.30 (C-5), 18.32 (C-6), 34.28 (C-7), 40.84 (C-8), 50.46 (C-9), 37.12 (C-10), 25.27 (C-12), 38.18 (C-13), 42.86 (C-14), 27.41 (C-15), 35.52 (C-16), 92.94 (C-17), 48.24 (C-18), 47.79 (C-19), 150.66 (C-20), 92.91 (C-21), 39.88 (C-22), 28.06 (C-23), 15.49 (C-24), 16.16 (C-25), 15.92 (C-26), 14.54 (C-27), 18.15 (C-28), 109.28 (C-29) and 19.26 (C-30). The compound-5 was recognized by comparing its spectral data with the reported data and with CAS ID = C00029492 as being Lupeol.³¹,³²

Compound-6

Compound-6 was prevailed from the column with light petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (50:50) with further 217 to 257 fractions (50 ml each) and by preparatory TLC after using petroleum ether/CHCl₃/MeOH (60:40:7). 67 mg of this compound (0.022% yield) was obtained as white molded acicular crystals after re-crystallization from hot MeOH and with mp. 213-214°C. This compound demonstrated a single spot on three-dimensional TLC when petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (50:50, 70:30 and 80:20) were used as solvent systems. EIMS, m/z (rel. intens. %): 468 [C₂₃H₃₂O₂, M⁺]²⁺, 453 [M-Me]⁺ (12), 427 [M-C₃H₅]⁺ (8), 408 [M-AcOH]⁺ (21), 393 [M-Me-AcOH]⁺ (4), 249 [M-C₁₆H₂₆]⁺ (26), 218 [M-C₁₆H₂₄O₂]⁺ (39), 189 [M-C₁₆H₂₇-AcOH]⁺ (65), 181 [M-C₂₁H₃₅O]⁺ (16) and 121 [M-C₂₁H₃₅O-AcOH]⁺ (49). IR (Thin film) cm⁻¹: 3077 (broad OH), 1712 (ester carboxyl), 1648 and 884 (exomethylene group). ¹HNMR, δ: 4.74 and 4.64 (2H, brs, 1H each, H-29), 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 9.8 Hz, J = 4.5 Hz, H-3), 2.13 (3H, s, CH₃COO), 1.68 (3H, dd, J = 1.28 Hz, Me-30), 1.08 (3H, s, Me-26), 0.96 (3H, s, Me-23), 0.96 (3H, s, Me-27), 0.89 (3H, s, Me-25), 0.77 (3H, s, Me-28) and 0.78 (3H, s, Me-24). ¹³CNMR, δ: 38.46
(C-1), 23.78 (C-2), 81.08 (C-3), 37.84 (C-4), 55.44 (C-5), 18.25 (C-6), 34.36 (C-7), 40.98 (C-8), 50.49 (C-9), 37.13 (C-10), 21.08 (C-11), 25.17 (C-12), 38.16 (C-13), 42.98 (C-14), 27.54 (C-15), 35.68 (C-16), 43.12 (C-17), 48.09 (C-18), 48.35 (C-19), 152.14 (C-20), 30.15 (C-21), 40.06 (C-22), 28.08 (C-23), 16.59 (C-24), 16.27 (C-25), 16.07 (C-26), 14.54 (C-27), 18.08 (C-28), 19.37 (C-29), 109.53 (C-30), 21.35 (CH₃COO) and 170.88 (CH₃COO), (Fig. 1). The compound-6 was identified by comparing its spectral data with the reported data and with CAS ID = C0003750 as being Lupeol acetate33, 34.

Figure 1: Diterpenoids and triterpenoids isolated from the seeds of G. bonducella.
Brine Shrimp (Artemia salina Leach) Lethality Bioassay

This assay was adapted from the literature. Eggs of brine shrimps (Artemia salina Leach) were purchased from a local fish store. A brine shrimp container was filled with artificial sea water (about 3.8%). The seawater was incorporated with three different salts like MgCl$_2$.6H$_2$O, Na$_2$SO$_4$, and CaCl$_2$.2H$_2$O. Sea salt and yeast suspension (3mg dried yeast for each 5ml seawater sample) was also bought from the local fish store. Syringes of 5ml, 1ml, 500μl, 300μl, 200μl, 100μl, 50μl and 10μl capacity and 2 dram vials (9 per sample and 3 for control) were also redeemed from the indigenous market. Sea salt solution was prepared artificially by dissolving 38g sea salt in 1000 ml distilled water. The final solution was filtered. The filtrate was taken in a small plastic tub that was divided by a partition, having holes in it. The brine shrimp’s eggs were sprinkled in one portion of the tub and covered with a black carbon paper. Other half of the tub was illuminated with an electric lamp to attract the hatched brine shrimp’s larvae. The solution in the tub was constantly supplied with regular air flow with at a normal pressure and suitable light conditions which were essential for the hatching process. After 48 hours, the shrimp’s eggs were hatched and matured as nauplii. The mature nauplii were then used further in the experiment. 20mg of each of the compound was taken in a small vial and dissolved in 2ml of methanol to serve as stock solution. From the stock solution, 500μl’s, 400μl, 300μl, 200μl, 100μl, 80μl, 60μl, 40μl, 20μl, 10μl, 5μl, 2.5μl and 1.25μl (corresponding to the 1000, 800, 600, 400, 200, 160, 120, 80, 40, 20, 10, 5 and 2.5μg respectively) were transferred to the vials with three replicates of each concentration of the isolated compound. The vials were placed in an open area for 24 hours for complete evaporation of methanol. 2ml of sea salt solution was then added to each vial. 10 brine shrimp’s larvae were reassigned to each vial (30 brine shrimp’s larvae per dilution) with the help of a long-tipped dropper. Total volume of liquid in each vial was adjusted to 5ml with sea salt solution. Sluggish or anechoic brine shrimp’s larvae were counted for all concentrations of isolated compounds after 24 hours. Colchicine in the same concentrations was utilized as positive control. Total number of annihilated brine shrimps per dilution of each compound was tabulated. LC$_{50}$ (lethal concentration in 50% individuals) along with the upper and lower confidence limits of each compounds were calculated by probit analysis, using a computer program. The number of obliterated brine shrimp’s larvae due to the results of the effects acquired by the six isolated compounds from the ethyl acetate extract of the pulverized seeds of G. bonducella and also by colchicine, their LC$_{50}$, along with the upper and lower confidence limits had been outlined in Table-1.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It was a common observation that the disturbing feeling of _Guilandina bonducella_ L. seeds during harvesting season of the crop, was demonstrated in most of the local farmers who deals with the removal of seeds from the plant. Skins of fingers, specifically the internal skin of index and first finger of their right hands were frequently involved. It often developed inflammatory eruption, after prolong handling seeds of the plants. Such skin eruption appeared to be due to some of the stringy actions induced by some of the materials from the seeds of the plant. This reaction was settled down after five or six days. This type of lubricious

Table 1: Cytolysin potentials of the compounds isolated from the seeds of _G. bonducella_ L. herb on brine shrimps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose levels (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Comp.1</th>
<th>Comp.2</th>
<th>Comp.3</th>
<th>Comp.4</th>
<th>Comp.5</th>
<th>Comp.6</th>
<th>Coll.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>*26/30†</td>
<td>25/30</td>
<td>18/30</td>
<td>15/30</td>
<td>21/30</td>
<td>22/30</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>22/30</td>
<td>23/30</td>
<td>17/30</td>
<td>14/30</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>21/30</td>
<td>27/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>21/30</td>
<td>11/30</td>
<td>12/30</td>
<td>18/30</td>
<td>18/30</td>
<td>25/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>18/30</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>17/30</td>
<td>17/30</td>
<td>22/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>15/30</td>
<td>14/30</td>
<td>07/30</td>
<td>08/30</td>
<td>12/30</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>20/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>12/30</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>05/30</td>
<td>07/30</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>09/30</td>
<td>17/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>07/30</td>
<td>08/30</td>
<td>04/30</td>
<td>06/30</td>
<td>08/30</td>
<td>07/30</td>
<td>15/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>06/30</td>
<td>05/30</td>
<td>03/30</td>
<td>04/30</td>
<td>06/30</td>
<td>05/30</td>
<td>13/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>05/30</td>
<td>04/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>02/30</td>
<td>03/30</td>
<td>02/30</td>
<td>12/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>03/30</td>
<td>02/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>02/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>08/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>01/30</td>
<td>05/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>00/30</td>
<td>02/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Cytolysin potentials of the compounds isolated from the seeds of _G. bonducella_ L. herb on brine shrimps.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It was a common observation that the disturbing feeling of _Guilandina bonducella_ L. seeds during harvesting season of the crop, was demonstrated in most of the local farmers who deals with the removal of seeds from the plant. Skins of fingers, specifically the internal skin of index and first finger of their right hands were frequently involved. It often developed inflammatory eruption, after prolong handling seeds of the plants. Such skin eruption appeared to be due to some of the stringy actions induced by some of the materials from the seeds of the plant. This reaction was settled down after five or six days. This type of lubricious
response of the seeds of this species on human skin motivated us to probe into the chemical nature of its hostile active compounds.

During the preliminary cytolysin attempt, it was ascertained that the MeOH extract of *G. bonducella* seeds was not fatal to the of brine shrimp’s (*Artemia salina*) larvae (nauplii) than the EtOAc and H$_2$O extracts. Moreover, EtOAc extract of the seeds appeared to be more assertive towards the brine shrimp’s larvae, as compared to the H$_2$O extract. EtOAc extracts was thus further fractionated through silica gel column, analytical thin-layered and preparatory thin-layered chromatography to isolate its active cytolysin ingredient/s. Six active cytolysin compounds, along with a number of non-active components were isolated from this extract and purified by chromatographic and re-crystallization methods. First two active compounds were identified as diterpenoid while other four were recognized as being triterpenoids by comparative physical and spectroscopic data (Fig. 1). Their spectroscopic data were based on EIMS, FAB-MS, $^1$HNMR and $^{13}$CNMR assignments. The structures of both the diterpenoid, compound-1 and compound-2 (i.e., neocaesalpin-C; neocaesalpin-D) were established by comparing their physical and spectroscopic data with previously reported similar compounds$^{19}$ (Fig. 1) while the structures of the four triterpenoid compounds, compound-3 to compound-6 (i.e., $\alpha$-amyrin$^{33}, \beta$-amyrin$^{33}, $lupeol$^{32,34}$ and lupeol acetate$^{34}$) were established after comparison with previously described compounds (Fig. 1).

Formerly many research workers had made good use of brine shrimp’s larvae (i.e., nauplii of *Artemia salina*) assay for assessing the cytotoxicity and cytolysin potential of solvent extracts, fractions and phytochemical compounds from different natural crude drugs$^{42-46}$. It appeared that the brine shrimp lethality bioassay was a simple measure for cytolytic potential of the natural products and their isolated compounds$^{42-46}$. It was thus utilized to assist the bio-active maneuvering fractions which on conclusion lead to the bioactive cytolysin phytochemical compounds from our natural products. It was estimated that the difference between toxicity and efficacy of a drug was its dose. This assay often indicated that the fractionation of solvent extracts of natural products guided towards most-valuable bioactive toxic phytochemical compounds. Cytolysin activities were frequently expressed by the research workers in ppm or in $\mu$g as LC$_{50}$ (Lethal dose where 50% of individuals in a population were killed) values with 95% confidence intervals$^{42-46}$.

To compare the cytolysin potential of these compounds, the brine shrimp assay was engaged in measuring the LD$_{50}$ at the time, at which the death of the brine shrimp’s larvae was ascertained. The input data for a computer program consisted of the dose of testing materials (i.e., MeOH solution, EtOAc extract, column fractions or isolated compounds), the total number of test animal’s larvae used
and the number of test larvae responding (i.e., the number of dead larvae) to that dose. The program transformed the dose to the log dose and the test animal’s larvae reacted to the probit of percentage responses. It then make fit a probit regression line to the resulting points and computed the values for LD\textsubscript{50} along with their upper and lower confident limits\textsuperscript{47}. The output data consisted of a listing of LD\textsubscript{50}, upper and lower confident limits and a value of $\chi^2$. The purpose of the $\chi^2$ test was to detect whether the assay, after transformation, was satisfactorily represented by a probit regression line. If the $\chi^2$ test pointed out a divergence of transformed results from linear shape, these could not be assigned to a random biological variation (i.e. if $\chi^2$ value is not significant at $p > 0.05$, then the results obtained by probit analysis would not be legitimate)\textsuperscript{47}.

The results indicated that both the EtOAc and H\textsubscript{2}O extracts of \textit{G. bonducella} seeds had cytolysin potential against the brine shrimp’s larvae but the EtOAc extract was even more violent cytolysin than H\textsubscript{2}O extract, when compared with the known cytolysin compound, colchicine\textsuperscript{44-46}. Colchicine was employed as positive controlled cytolysin material in this bioassay\textsuperscript{44-46}. All the six terpenoid compounds (compound-1 to compound-6) (Fig.1) from this extract revealed a cytolysin potential against brine shrimp’s (\textit{Artemia salina}) larvae (nauplii) when compared with colchicine applied in the same concentrations\textsuperscript{45} (Table 1). The results also demonstrated that among all the six isolated compounds, the compound-1 (neocaesalpin C) and compound-2 (neocaesalpin D) were the most active cytolysin compounds (with LD\textsubscript{50} = 26.421 and 28.329). Their LD\textsubscript{50} values were nearly close to the colchicine (LD\textsubscript{50} = 15.061). Moreover, the compound-1 (neocaesalpin C) appeared to be more active than compound-2 (neocaesalpin D) and exhibited the highest cytolysin activity (Table-1). Other four compounds i.e., compound-3 (α-amyrin); compound-4 (β-amyrin); compound-5 (lupeol), compound-6 (lupeol acetate) (with LD\textsubscript{50} = 460.562, 532.326, 27.342 and 76.797 respectively) displayed a lesser cytolysin activity than colchicine (Table-1). The results also indicated that the two compounds i.e., compound-3 (α-amyrin) and compound-4 (β-amyrin) demonstrated the least cytolysin potential (with LD\textsubscript{50} = 460.562 and 532.326) against the brine shrimp’s larvae than colchicine (Table-1).

The potent cytolytic / toxic effect on brine shrimp’s larvae, induced by neocaesalpin C, neocaesalpin D, lupeol and lupeol acetate from \textit{G. bonducella} seeds was probably due to a rapid penetration through the larvae’s skin and quickly bio-available to the living tissues of the animals. These compounds perhaps caused a blockage of respiratory centers which ultimately stimulated a quick tissue deterioration in the larvae leading to their death. The comparatively less toxic reaction of α-amyrin and β-amyrin was possibly due to their direct actions, at some of the receptor sites in the animal’s larvae.
It was concluded that the EtOAc extract of *G. bonducella* seeds contained related cytolysin di and triterpenes which could be hostile not only to the brine shrimp’s larvae but might also be insalubrious to the bodies of higher animals and human beings. Further work was necessitated to amplify this property through the preparation of derivatives of these active molecules, which would perhaps be elaborated for the structure-activity relationship of such important cytolysin molecules, both for *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. These cytolysin molecules and their derivatives might also be important against animal’s and human’s cancerous tissues, which could further be tested with the standard processes of WHO. Further work had also been designed to ascertain some cytolysin inhibitor/s from our natural sources, which could overcome the adverse action of such phytochemical compound/s from *G. bonducella* seeds and related species of the family Caesalpinaceae.
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