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INTRODUCTION

Campylobacter spp. is considered to be the most common bacterial cause of human gastroenteritis in the world1. Food-borne Campylobacter infections are considered to be caused by animal origin foods, mainly poultry and poultry products. Besides poultry, raw milk, pork, beef, lamb and seafood are responsible of Campylobacter infections2. The antimicrobial resistance of
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thermophilic campylobacters, including *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* has been identified especially to tetracyclines and fluoroquinolones at important levels in many different parts of the world. Using chemical compounds have limits because of their carcinogenic effects, acute toxicity, and environmental hazard potential. Increasing resistance to currently used antimicrobials and consumer concerns about using chemical preservatives lead to investigation of alternative strategies to prevent and control these microorganisms. Despite the high number of studies on the antimicrobial effects of essential oils (EOs), most studies have focused on pathogenic bacteria like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Bacillus cereus*.

Essential oils which were synthesized naturally in different plant parts are complex volatile compounds. They can be extracted from medicinal aromatic plants and have strong antimicrobial activity against various bacterial, fungal, and viral pathogens. In addition to their antibacterial properties, they have antiviral, antimycotic, antitoxicogenic, antiparasitic, insecticidal, antimitogenicity, cytoprotective, moderation of insulin secretion analgesic, neuroprotective, antioxidant, antiproliferative proapoptotic anxiolytic-like activities. Their wide range of antimicrobial activity was a result of different types of aldehydes, phenolics, terpenes, and other antimicrobial compounds. Mechanism of antimicrobial action is still lacking although a few studies have been elucidated.

Basil is the common name for the culinary herb *Ocimum basilicum* of the family Lamiaceae (Labiateae). Although the basil essential oil’s antibacterial activity is associated with its high content in linalool and estragole, antimicrobial spectrum is restricted to specific bacteria other than *Campylobacter* spp. Although in few studies antimicrobial activity of basil essential oil against *Campylobacter* spp. has been mentioned, our literature review revealed that the differences of antimicrobial effects against clinical and standard *Campylobacter* isolates were not discussed.

In this study, it was aimed to evaluate comparative antibacterial activity of basil essential oil against clinical and standard isolates of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* by different methods as agar well diffusion, agar and broth dilution methods. Gas Chromatography (GC) and Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) analyses also examined the chemical composition of the tested EO.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Bacterial culture and essential oils**

The antimicrobial activity of the cold pressed basil essential oil was tested
against clinical *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Campylobacter coli* identified by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization time-of-flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI TOF MS)\(^1\) and standard *Campylobacter jejuni* (ATCC 33660), *Campylobacter coli* (NCTC 12525). Basil essential oil was obtained in food grade form from “International Flavors & Fragrances (IFF)”, Gebze, Kocaeli (Turkey). Dilutions were made in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Merck). Before analysis, basil essential oil was sterilized by filtration through 0.22 μm filters (Minisart® Syringe Filter, Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH, Germany) and stored in dark at 4 °C.

**Gas Chromatography (GC)**

Essential oils were analyzed by GC-FID using an Agilent 7890B GC (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA) with a flame ionization detector (FID). The chromatographic separation was accomplished using an Agilent HP-Innowax column (60 m x 0.25 mm Ø, with 0.25 μm film thickness) with a helium as a carrier gas (0.7 mL/ minute). GC oven temperature was kept at 60 °C for 10 min and programmed to 220 °C at a rate of 4 °C/ minute and then kept constant at 220 °C for 10 min and programmed to 240 °C at a rate of 1 °C/ minute. The injector and flame ionization detector temperatures were adjusted to 250 °C. The relative percentage amounts of the separated compounds were calculated from FID chromatograms.

**Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)**

The essential oils were analyzed by GC/MS using an Agilent 7890B GC coupled with a 5977B MSD (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA). The same column and analytical conditions were used for both GC/MS and GC/FID. The mass range was recorded from m/z 35 to 425. The injector temperature was adjusted to 250 °C. MS were recorded at 70 eV. Alkanes were used as reference points in the calculation of relative retention indices (RRI). The components of EOs were identified by using Wiley 9- Nist 11 Mass Spectral Database and standard Alkan series (C7-C40).

**Agar-well diffusion assay**

Inhibition zone diameters were determined using previously described method with slight modifications\(^2\). Bacterial inoculum was prepared in Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB, Merck, Darmsdat, Germany) for standard isolate and MHB with 5% horse blood for clinical isolate and incubated at 42 °C for 48 h under microaerophilic conditions created by Anaerocult® C (Merck, Darmsdat, Germany). Concentrations of bacterial suspensions were adjusted to approximately 10\(^8\) cfu/mL and 100 μL of culture suspension was spreaded on *Camp-
Blood-Free Selective Agar Base medium (modified CCDA, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for standard isolate, Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) medium with 5% horse blood for clinical isolate. Three wells were cut out of agar and filled with 5 μL, 10 μL and 20 μL of basil EO. The inoculated plates were incubated at 42 °C for 48 h under microaerophilic conditions. After incubation, inhibition zone diameters were measured. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Zones of inhibition (including the 6 mm of the well) were expressed as mean values with ± standard deviation.

**Broth microdilution assay**

Broth microdilution method was used to determine the minimum inhibition concentrations (MICs), which was described previously by Wiegand et al.\textsuperscript{13}. Stock solution was prepared in 10% DMSO and two-fold serial dilutions of EO were prepared. After sub-culturing in MHB, bacterial concentration was adjusted to approximately 10^8 cfu/mL. The 96-well plates were prepared by dispensing, into each well, 95 μL of MHB, 100 μL of EO and 5 μL of the inoculants. The final volume in each well was 200 μL. The microplates were incubated at 42 °C for 24 h under microaerophilic conditions. MIC values were determined spectrophotometrically by measuring the optical density at an absorbance of 600 nm (Synergy HT, BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). Negative controls (involving 195 μL of MHB and 5 μL of inoculum but no EO) for each microorganism and sterility controls (involving 100 μL MHB and 100 μL EO but no inoculum) for each EO concentrations were prepared.

**Agar dilution method**

For clinical strains, to determine minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), agar dilution method according to Stepanović et al.\textsuperscript{14} was used with slight modifications. This method based on preparation of MHA with 5% horse blood with the additions of 1% Tween 20 and different concentration of essential oils after sterilization of agar. Test plates were prepared with 19 mL of MHA, and 1 mL of two-fold dilutions of essential oils. After adjusting bacterial concentration approximately to 10^8 cfu/mL, 10 μL of culture suspension was inoculated to agar plates. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 42 °C in microaerophilic conditions. The MICs were defined as the lowest concentration of essential oils that inhibited visible growth of microorganisms.\textsuperscript{14}

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The chemical composition of the basil EO determined with GC/MS is given in Table 1. The main compound identified in the basil essential oil was methyl chavicol (86.6%). These results are consistent with those reported in the lite-
nature. Differences of constituents and their amounts may be related with the geographical origin of the plant, different parts of plants, extraction method and season of harvest.

**Table 1.** Chemical compositions of basil essential oil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>RI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Peak area (%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,8-Cineole</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>α-Bergamotene</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Methyl chavicol</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>: Retention index was calculated for all volatile constituents using a homologous series of n-alkanes C7- C40, <sup>b</sup>: Peak area obtained by GC-FID.

Although different chemical profiles of basil essential oil were reported in literature, methyl chavicol with high citral contents (methyl chavicol/citral) was previously detected as a “new chemo type” in the Turkish basils<sup>16</sup>. In addition to geological origin, chemical constituents varied with different seasons<sup>17</sup>. Generally, the chemical composition profile of basil essential oil confirms previous studies. Methyl chavicol was reported as major constituent in India (78.3%)<sup>18</sup>. In another study, three chemotypes of Ocimum basilicum (O. basilicum) were identified as a major methyl chavicol-rich type (>65%), a methyl chavicol (55%)-linalool (20–30%) type, a linalool (42–45%) and eugenol (15%) type<sup>19</sup>. For this respect, O. basilicum used in this study was in methyl chavicol-rich type with 86.6% methyl chavicol. High content of methyl chavicol was also confirmed by Vieira and Simon<sup>20</sup> with 47% methyl chavicol content.

The inhibition zone diameters measured ranged from 12.3±1.6 to 21.8±1.4 mm and 10.9±0.8 to 20.4±2.4 mm for clinical and standard Campylobacter isolates, respectively (Table 2). Considering the all results, mean inhibition zone diameter was 15.94±1.55 mm. Similar to current study, mean zone diameters were reported as 12.48 mm and 13.2 mm against gram positive and gram negative bacteria, respectively<sup>21</sup>. Smaller inhibition zones were also reported by Predoi et al.<sup>22</sup> as 7-10 mm against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. In literature, inhibition zone diameters were varied depending on different extracts. It was reported that although methanol extracts showed inhibition zones against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Shigella* sp., *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and two different strains of *Escherichia coli*, chloroform and acetone extracts of *O. basilicum* had no effect<sup>23</sup>.

---

Poor solubility and high volatility of essential oils limit the usage of diffusion tests. It is suggested to use agar or broth dilution methods for true antimicrobial activity evaluation\textsuperscript{24}. With this respect, in this study, \textit{in vitro} antimicrobial activity of basil essential oil was not tested only by agar diffusion method but also dilution methods against clinical and standard isolates of \textit{Campylobacter} spp. (Table 2). It was reported that both agar dilution and broth microdilution methods were equally suitable against \textit{Campylobacter} spp. and highly correlated\textsuperscript{25}. In this study, since broth microdilution method did not give any results against clinical strains, agar dilution method was used by taking this perspective into consideration. Tested essential oil displayed varying degree of antibacterial activity with MIC values ranging from 105.16 to 1787.7 µg/mL. Interestingly, MIC values against clinical ones were higher than standard isolates. Higher MIC values indicate that clinical strains are more resistant than standard strains against basil essential oil.

**Table 2.** Antimicrobial activity of basil essential oil against \textit{Campylobacter} spp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Amount (µL)</th>
<th>MIC (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 µL</td>
<td>10 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. jejuni (Clinical) Streptomycin\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>NA\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>13.0±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.0±1.8</td>
<td>40.0±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. coli (Clinical) Streptomycin</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12.3±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.0±1.4</td>
<td>38.0±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. jejuni (ATCC 33560) Streptomycin</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10.9±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.3±2.1</td>
<td>24.7±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. coli (NCTC 12525) Streptomycin</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11.8±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.7±1.6</td>
<td>24.8±0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}NA: No activity, \textsuperscript{b} Standard antibiotic, \textsuperscript{c} Not tested

Although the same MIC values were reported for essential oils against different strains in literature, in this study differences in MIC values were found against the clinical and standard strains of \textit{Campylobacter}. In literature, different MIC values were reported. Antibacterial and antifungal activities of essential oils of twelve \textit{Ocimum basilicum} L. cultivars which were grown in Serbia were investigated by Beatovic et al.\textsuperscript{26}. However, lower MIC values than current study were reported, they were ranging from 0.009-11.74 µg/mL. Silveira et al.\textsuperscript{27}, reported MIC values from 0.075 to 2.5 µg/mL against \textit{S. aureus}, \textit{L. monocytogenes}, \textit{B. cereus}, \textit{Yersinia enterocolitica}, \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. typhimurium}. In another study, mean MIC values were detected as 0.75 and 0.73 µg/mL against 6 gram positive and 12 gram negative bacteria respectively\textsuperscript{21}. Higher MIC values were also repor-
tested for gram positive bacteria as 18-36 μg/mL, and for gram-negative bacteria as 9-18 μg/mL\(^2\). By the existence of different EO components with respect to harvesting season differences as well as extraction method, different antimicrobial activity levels can be obtained. These differences may be due to this fact\(^5\).

Antimicrobial spectrum of basil essential oil was reported as restricted to specific bacteria as *Staphylococcus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *B. cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Enterobacter* spp., *Listeria* spp., *Proteus* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Serratia marcescens*, and *Y. enterocolitica* and fungi as *Candida* spp., *Rhodotorula* spp., and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*\(^8\). Although basil essential oil has restricted antimicrobial activity, in current study it has also been proven that it has antimicrobial activity against *Campylobacter* spp.

This study described antibacterial efficiency differences of basil essential oil against clinical and standard isolates of *Campylobacter* spp., as well as the chemical composition of corresponding essential oil. The results indicated that tested EO has varying degree of antibacterial efficiency against both *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* isolates. However, with *in vitro* experiments, *in vivo* studies are also required because antimicrobial effect showed differences even between clinical and standard strains. In addition, optimum essential oil concentration should be determined to ensure antimicrobial activity and acceptable sensorial properties.
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