Microbial Efficacy and Two Step Synthesis of Uridine Derivatives with Spectral Characterization

Sumi R. Devi¹, Sanjida Jesmin¹, Mahfuz Rahman¹, Mohammad A. Manchur², Yuki Fujii³, Yasuhiro Ozeki⁴, Sarkar M. A. Kawsar¹*

¹Laboratory of Carbohydrate and Nucleoside Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Chittagong, Chittagong 4331, Bangladesh.  
²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biological Science, University of Chittagong, Chittagong-4331, Bangladesh  
³Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Science, Nagasaki International University, 2825-7 Huis Ten Bosch, Sasebo, Nagasaki 859-3298, Japan  
⁴Department of Life and Environmental System Science, Graduate School of NanoBio Sciences, Yokohama City University, 22-2 Seto, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama 236-0027, Japan

ABSTRACT

Uridine is a natural nucleoside precursor of uridine monophosphate in organisms and thus is considered to be safe and is used in a wide range of clinical settings. The far-reaching effects of pharmacological uridine have long been neglected. Here, we report a novel series of uridine esters were designed and synthesized by direct method with microbial efficacy. The structures of the prepared compounds have been characterized using various physico-chemical methods including C, H elemental analysis, melting point determination, IR and ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. The synthesized uridine derivatives were subjected to in-vitro antibacterial screening using agar disc diffusion method on some clinically isolated Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains. Also, antifungal functionality test was performed against a number of plant pathogenic fungi. The compounds showed varied antibacterial and antifungal activities. In addition, cytotoxic activity showed different rate mortality with different concentrations. In conclusion, it may useful for antibacterial and antifungal active agents after investigating their further analysis to develop safer and more potent drugs in the future.
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INTRODUCTION

Uridine (1) is one of the four basic components of ribonucleic acid (RNA). Upon digestion of foods containing RNA, uridine is released from RNA and is absorbed intact in the gut. Uridine is found in sugarcane, tomatoes, broccoli, liver,
pancreas etc. Uridine has anti depression activity, asthmatic airway inflammation, hepatocyte proliferation. In addition, uridine has been reported to have other physiological actions in animal studies, such as a vasoconstrictive effect in rats, which was reversed by adenosine and hyperpolarized amphibian ganglia and superior cervical ganglia in rats, possibly related to an inhibitory activity. In humans, uridine is administered to reduce the adverse effects of cancer chemotherapy including 5-fluorouracil, such as bone marrow and gastrointestinal toxicity. Furthermore, a combination of uridine and benzylacyclouridine (uridine phosphorylase inhibitor) was shown to reduce neurotoxicity and bone marrow toxicity related to zidovudine used for treatment of HIV infection. However, the physiological activities of uridine in humans remain undetermined.

Nucleotides and nucleosides are key compounds involved in major biological processes, such as nucleic acids and proteins synthesis, cell signaling, enzyme regulation, and metabolism. Indeed, many nucleoside analogues are already clinically used as antiviral and antitumoral agents. However, their efficiency is sometimes reduced by the appearance of resistance mechanisms. The availability of new nucleoside derivatives, therefore, is still of prime importance.

A number of fruitful and efficient methods for selective acylation were reported by many carbohydrate chemists using many acylating agents and varying reaction conditions. However, most of these methods are based on the blocking and deblocking of the hydroxyl groups which are not directly involved in the reaction. Various methods for acylation of carbohydrates and nucleosides have so far been developed and employed successfully. Of these, direct method has been found to be the most encouraging method for acylation of carbohydrates and nucleosides.

From literature survey revealed that a large number of biologically active compounds possess aromatic and heteroaromatic nucleus and acyl substituents. It is also known that, if an active nucleus is linked to another nucleus, the resulting molecule may possess greater potential for biological activity. The benzene and substituted benzene nuclei play important role as common denominator of various biological activities. Results of an ongoing research work on selective acylation of nucleosides and also evaluation of antimicrobial activities reveal that in many cases the combination of two or more aromatic or heteroaromatic nuclei. It is also found that N, S and X containing substitution products showed marked antimicrobial activities i.e., enhance the biological activity of the parent compound. Encouraged by literature reports and our own findings, we synthesized some selectively acylated derivatives of...
uridine (1) (Scheme 1-2 & Table 1) containing various substituents in a single molecular framework and evaluated their antibacterial and antifungal activities using a variety of bacterial and fungal pathogens.
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**Figure 1. Uridine (Compound 1)**

**METHODOLOGY**

**Chemicals**

Melting points were determined on an electro-thermal melting point apparatus (England) and are uncorrected. Evaporations were carried out under reduced pressure using VV-1 type vacuum rotary evaporator (Germany) with a bath temperature below 40°C. Thin layer chromatography (t.l.c) was performed on Kieselgel GF$_{254}$ and spots were detected by spraying the plates with 1% H$_2$SO$_4$ and heating at 150-200°C until coloration took place. Column chromatography was performed with silica gel G$_{60}$. All reagents used were commercially available (Aldrich) and were used as received, unless otherwise specified.

**Structure and physical properties of uridine derivatives**

The structures of newly synthesized compounds were determined through NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. ¹H-NMR spectra (400 MHz) were recorded for solutions in deuteriochloroform (CDCl$_3$) (internal Me$_4$Si) with a Bruker DPX-40C spectrometer. Synthesized compounds were also conducted by liquid chromatography electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry in positive ionization mode (LC/ESI(+-)-MS/MS) by using a system that consisted of a JASSO LC (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan) at the Yokohama City University, Japan. IR spectra were recorded by KBr disc at the Chemistry Department, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh, with an IR Affinity Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU). The physical properties were de-
determined and characterized by melting point and elemental analysis (C and H).

**Synthesis of uridine derivatives**

5´-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 2)

A solution of the uridine (1) (200 mg, 0.83 mmol) in anhydrous pyridine (3 ml) was cooled to 0°C when octanoyl chloride (0.3 ml, 1.1 molar eq.) was added. The reaction mixture was continuously stirred for 6 hours at 0°C temperature and then the reaction mixture was standing for overnight at room temperature with continuous stirring. The progress of the reaction was monitored by t.l.c (methanol-chloroform, 1:24) which indicated full conversion of the starting material into a single product ($R_f = 0.50$). The solution was poured into ice water with constant stirring. It was then extracted with chloroform (3 x 10 ml). The combined chloroform layer was washed successively with dilute hydrochloric acid, saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate solution and distilled water. The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered and concentrated. The organic layer was dried (MgSO$_4$), filtered and concentrated. Purification by chromatography with methanol-chloroform (1:24) as eluant and furnished the octanoyl chloride derivative (2) (164 mg, 82%) as solid mass, which was used in the next stage. Physical properties are shown in the Table 2.

Colour: white, solubility: CHCl$_3$, DMF. FTIR (KBr) $\nu_{max}$ (cm$^{-1}$) 1728 (C=O), 3358-3520 (br, -OH). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 9.08 (1H, s, -NH), 7.53 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-6), 5.98 (1H, d, J=5.6 Hz, H-1´), 5.88 (1H, s, 2´-OH), 5.81 (1H, dd, J = 2.1 and 12.1 Hz, H-5´a), 5.77 (1H, dd, J=2.2 and 12.3 Hz, H-5´b), 5.67 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H-5), 5.62 (1H, s, 3´-OH), 4.40 (1H, dd, J = 2.2 and 5.5 Hz, H-4´), 4.22 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-2´), 4.17 (1H, dd, J = 7.4 and 5.4 Hz, H-3´), 2.34 {2H, m, CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$CO-}, 1.61 {2H, m, CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$CH$_2$CO-}, 1.26 {8H, m, CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_4$(CH$_2$)$_2$CO-}, 0.87 {3H, m, CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_4$CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): $m/z$ [M+H]$^+$ 371.18 (100). Anal calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{26}$O$_7$N$_2$ (370.18): C, 55.15; H, 7.02. Found: C, 55.18; H, 7.04.

**General procedure for synthesis of 5´-O-octanoyl derivatives**

A cooled (0°C) and stirred solution of compound (2) (120.8 mg, 0.33 mmol) in dry pyridine (3 ml) was treated with 5 molar equivalents of pentanoyl chloride (0.14 ml, 5.1 mmol) and the solution was left standing overnight in the room temperature. The progress of the reaction was monitored by t.l.c (methanol-chloroform, 1:18) which indicated the complete conversion of the starting material into faster moving product ($R_f = 0.54$). Aqueous work-up procedure as described earlier and silica gel column chromatographic purification (methanol-chloroform, 1:18 as eluant), gave the pentanoylate (3) (110 mg, 91%) as a
pasty mass.

Using the similar reaction procedure, compound 3 was converted to compound 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and compound 13.

5´-O-Octanoyl-2´,3´-di-O-pentanoyluridine (Compound 3)

Colour: off white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF. FTIR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 1757 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δₙ 9.01 (1H, s, -NH), 7.41 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-6), 6.08 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-1´), 5.75 (1H, dd, J = 2.2 and 12.2 Hz, H-5´ a), 5.61 (1H, dd, J = 2.1 and 12.2 Hz, H-5´ b), 5.08 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-5), 4.52 (1H, d, J = 5.2 Hz, H-2´), 4.33 (1H, dd, J = 7.7 and 5.6 Hz H-3´), 4.27 (1H, m, H-4´), 2.35 {4H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂CO-}, 2.31 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₃CH₂CO-}, 1.61 (4H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-), 1.57 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 1.38 {4H, m, 2×CH₃CH₂CH₂CO-}, 1.26 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 0.88 {6H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₅CO-}, 0.87 {3H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₆CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): m/z [M+H]+ 539.60 (100). Anal calcd for C₂₇H₄₂O₉N₂ (538.60): C, 60.17; H, 7.80. Found: C, 60.22; H, 7.84.

2´,3´-Di-O-hexanoyl-5´-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 4)

Colour: white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF, DMSO. FTIR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 1742 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δₙ 9.98 (1H, s, -NH), 7.53 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-6), 6.02 (1H, d, J = 5.7 Hz, H-1´), 5.85 (1H, d, J = 2.2 and 12.2 Hz, H-5´ a), 5.72 (1H, dd, J = 2.1 and 12.0 Hz, H-5´ b), 5.45 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-5), 4.95 (1H, m, H-2´), 4.39 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.18 (1H, m, H-4´), 2.40 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 2.35 {4H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₃CH₂CO-}, 1.62 {4H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₃CH₂CO-}, 1.60 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 1.27 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 1.25 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 0.85 {6H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₄CO-}, 0.84 {3H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₅CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): m/z [M+H]+ 567.5 (100). Anal calcd for C₂₉H₄₂O₉N₂ (566.50): C, 61.43; H, 8.12. Found: C, 61.46; H, 8.15.

2´,3´-Di-O-decanoyl-5´-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 5)

Colour: dark white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF, DMSO. FTIR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 1738 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δₙ 9.05 (1H, s, -NH), 7.54 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-6), 5.87 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-1´), 5.79 (1H, dd, J = 2.0 and 12.0 Hz, H-5´ a), 5.41 (1H, dd, J = 2.0 and 12.0 Hz, H-5´ b), 5.41 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, H-5), 5.02 (1H, m, H-2´), 4.38 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.28 (1H, m, H-4´), 2.36 {4H, m, 2× CH₃(CH₂)₉CH₂CO-}, 2.33 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₇CH₂CO-}, 1.60 {4H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₆CH₂CO-}, 1.57 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.26 {24H, m, 2×CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 1.24 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₃CH₂CO-}, 0.85 {6H,
m, \(2\times CH_3(CH_2)_8CO\)-, 0.83 \(3H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_6CO\)-. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): \(m/z [M+H]^+ 680.29 \) (100). Anal calcd for \(C_{37}H_{62}O_{9}N_2\) (679.29): C, 65.36; H, 9.13. Found: C, 65.40; H, 9.14.

2',3'-Di-O-lauroyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 6)

Colour: deep white, solubility: \(CHCl_3, DMF, DMSO\). FTIR (KBr) \(\nu_{\text{max}} \) (cm\(^{-1}\)) 1758 (-CO). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, \(CDCl_3\)): \(\delta_{\text{H}} 8.96 \) (1H, s, -NH), 7.42 (1H, d, \(J = 7.7 \) Hz, H-6), 6.00 (1H, m, H-1' ), 5.76 (1H, m, H-5'a), 5.57 (1H, m, H-5'b), 5.48 (1H, d, \(J = 8.1 \) Hz, H-5), 5.29 (1H, d, \(J = 5.6 \) Hz, H-2’), 5.22 (1H, m, H-3’), 4.32 (1H, m, H-4’), 2.37 \(4H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_9CH_2CO\)-, 2.31 \(2H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_6CH_2CO\)-, 1.59 \(4H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_8CH_2CO\)-, 1.56 \(2H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_4CH_2CO\)-, 1.42 \(8H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_4CH_2CO\)-, 1.24 \(32H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_11CH_2CO\)-, 0.88 \(6H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_10CH_2CO\)-, 0.86 \(3H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_6CO\)-. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): \(m/z [M+H]^+ 735.42 \) (100). Anal calcd for \(C_{41}H_{70}O_{9}N_2\) (734.42): C, 67.04; H, 9.53. Found: C, 67.07; H, 9.56.

2',3'-Di-O-myristoyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 7)

Colour: deep white, solubility: \(CHCl_3, DMF, DMSO\). FTIR (KBr) \(\nu_{\text{max}} \) (cm\(^{-1}\)) 1736 (-CO). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, \(CDCl_3\)): \(\delta_{\text{H}} 9.01 \) (1H, s, -NH), 7.50 (1H, d, \(J = 7.6 \) Hz, H-6), 6.08 (1H, d, \(J = 5.7 \) Hz, H-1’), 5.75 (1H, m, H-5’a), 5.73 (1H, m, H-5’b), 5.61 (1H, d, \(J = 7.7 \) Hz, H-5), 5.08 (1H, d, \(J = 5.3 \) Hz, H-2’), 4.78 (1H, m, H-3’), 4.37 (1H, dd, \(J = 2.1 \) and 5.5 Hz, H-4’), 2.35 \(4H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_11CH_2CO\)-, 2.33 \(2H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_5CH_2CO\)-, 1.62 \(2H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_4CH_2CO\)-, 1.26 \(44H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_13CH_2CO\)-, 1.25 \(8H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_4(CH_2)_2CO\)-, 0.88 \(6H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_11CH_2CO\)-, 0.86 \(3H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_6CO\)-. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): \(m/z [M+H]^+ 791.46 \) (100). Anal calcd for \(C_{45}H_{78}O_{9}N_2\) (790.46): C, 68.37; H, 9.87. Found: C, 68.41; H, 9.89.

5'-O-Octanoyl-2',3'-di-O-palmitoyluridine (Compound 8)

Colour: light white, solubility: \(CHCl_3, DMF, DMSO\). FTIR (KBr) \(\nu_{\text{max}} \) (cm\(^{-1}\)) 1722 (-CO). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, \(CDCl_3\)): \(\delta_{\text{H}} 8.98 \) (1H, s, -NH), 7.58 (1H, m, H-6), 5.70 (1H, m, H-1’), 5.61 (2H, m, H-5’a and H-5’b), 5.46 (1H, d, \(J = 8.1 \) Hz, H-5), 5.02 (1H, d, \(J = 5.5 \) Hz, H-2’), 4.87 (1H, m, H-3’), 4.36 (1H, m, H-4’), 2.36 \(4H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_13CH_2CO\)-, 2.33 \(2H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_4CH_2CO\)-, 1.60 \(2H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_4CH_2CO\)-, 1.25 \(8H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_4(CH_2)_2CO\)-, 1.24 \(52H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_15CH_2CO\)-, 0.88 \(6H, m, 2\times CH_3(CH_2)_14CO\)-, 0.86 \(3H, m, CH_3(CH_2)_6CO\)-. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): \(m/z [M+H]^+ 847.5 \) (100). Anal calcd for \(C_{49}H_{86}O_{9}N_2\) (846.50): C, 69.52; H, 10.16. Found: C, 69.55; H, 10.19.
5´-O-Octanoyl-2´,3´-di-O-pivaloyluridine (Compound 9)

Colour: white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF, DMSO. FTIR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 1740 (-CO). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δH 9.06 (1H, s, -NH), 7.65 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-6), 6.08 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-1´), 5.78 (1H, m, H-5´a), 5.61 (1H, m, H-5´b), 5.29 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-5), 5.01 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.60 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.38 (1H, m, H-4´), 2.35 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.64 {2H, m, CH(CH₃)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.21 {18H, s, 2×(CH₃)₃CCO-}, 1.21 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 0.88 {3H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₆CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): m/z [M+H]+ 539.5 (100). Anal calcd for C₂₇H₄₂O₉N₂ (538.50): C, 60.17; H, 7.80. Found: C, 60.19; H, 7.84.

2´, 3´-Di-O-methanesulfonyl-5´-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 10)

Colour: white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF, DMSO. FTIR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 1765 (-CO) and 1365 (-SO₂). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δH 8.91 (1H, s, -NH), 7.71 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-6), 6.01 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-1´), 5.88 (1H, m, H-5´a), 5.69 (1H, m, H-5´b), 5.22 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, H-5), 5.15 (1H, m, H-2´), 4.96 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.41 (1H, m, H-4´), 3.10, 3.05 {2×3H, 2×s, 2×CH₃SO₂-}, 2.36 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.61 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.26 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 0.88 {3H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₆CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): m/z [M+H]+ 527.32 (100). Anal calcd for C₁₉H₃₀O₁₁N₂S₂ (526.32): C, 43.36; H, 5.70. Found: C, 43.39; H, 5.73.

2´,3´-Di-O-benzenesulfonyl-5´-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 11)

Colour: light white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF, DMSO. FTIR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 1741 (-CO) and 1362 (-SO₂). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δH 9.09 (1H, s, -NH), 7.90 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.56 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.41 (4H, m, Ar-H), 6.03 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-6), 5.76 (1H, d, J = 5.5 Hz, H-1´), 5.30 (1H, m, H-5´a), 5.09 (1H, m, H-5´b), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, H-5), 4.76 (1H, m, H-2´), 4.56 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.35 (1H, m, H-4´), 2.33 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.62 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.26 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 0.87 {3H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₆CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): m/z [M+H]+ 651.42 (100). Anal calcd for C₂₉H₄₂O₁₁N₂S₂ (650.42): C, 53.56; H, 5.27. Found: C, 53.56; H, 5.27.

2´,3´-Di-O-(2-bromobenzoyl)-5´-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 12)

Colour: white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF, DMSO. FTIR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 1780 (-CO). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δH 9.01 (1H, s, -NH), 7.81 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.64 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.36 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.28 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-6), 6.19 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-1´), 6.03 (1H, m, H-5´a), 5.77 (1H, dd, J = 2.0 and 12.0
Hz, H-5´b), 5.55 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, H-5), 5.49 (1H, m, H-2´), 4.62 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.36 (1H, m, H-4´), 2.34 {2H, m, CH₃(CH)₅CH₂CO-}, 1.62 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CH₂CO-}, 1.24 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄(CH₂)₂CO-}, 0.84 {3H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₆CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): m/z [M+H]+ 737.41 (100). Anal calcd for C₃₁H₃₂O₉N₂Br₂ (736.41): C, 50.56; H, 4.35. Found: C, 50.59; H, 4.39.

2´, 3´-Di-O-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-5´-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 13)

Colour: off white, solubility: CHCl₃, DMF, DMSO. FTIR (KBr) ν_max (cm⁻¹) 1760 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δH: 9.0 (1H, s, -NH), 7.95 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.75 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-6), 7.50 (4H, m, Ar-H), 6.03 (1H, d, J = 5.5 Hz, H-1´), 5.79 (1H, m, H-5´a), 5.77 (1H, dd, J = 2.1 and 12.2 Hz, H-5´b), 5.59 (1H, m, H-5), 5.23 (1H, m, H-2´), 4.55 (1H, m, H-3´), 4.35 (1H, m, H-4´), 2.33 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CO-}, 1.62 {2H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CH₂CO-}, 1.27 {8H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₄(CH₂)₂CO-}, 0.84 {3H, m, CH₃(CH₂)₆CO-}. Mass spectra (MS) (positive ion LC-ESI, 8eV): m/z [M+H]+ 648.6 (100). Anal calcd for C₃₁H₃₂O₉N₂Cl₂ (647.60): C, 57.52; H, 4.94. Found: C, 57.55; H, 4.98.

Microbial screening studies

Test tube cultures of bacterial pathogens were obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, University of Chittagong. The synthesized test compounds (Table 2 & 3) were subjected to antibacterial screening against three Gram-positive and three Gram-negative bacterial strains (Table 1).

Preparation of bacterial suspension

About 10 ml of distilled water was taken in a clean screw cap test tube. A number of test tubes with water were sterilized in an autoclave. From 48 hours; old bacterial culture, one loop of bacterial culture was transferred to the sterilized distilled water and mixed it properly. These bacterial suspensions of the test tube were used to the pour plate during sensitivity test.
Table 1. List of used bacteria and fungus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of organisms</th>
<th>Tested organisms &amp; strain no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram +Ve</td>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em> BTCC 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Bacillus cereus</em> BTCC 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram -Ve</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> ATCC 25922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em> ICDDR,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em> AE 14612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em> ATCC 16404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rhizopus nigricans</em> ATCC 6227b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Antibacterial efficacy test**

The in vitro antibacterial activities of the synthesized chemicals were detected by disc diffusion method\(^{30,31}\). Paper discs of 4 mm in diameter and glass petri plate of 90 mm in diameter were used throughout the experiment. Paper discs were sterilized in an autoclave and dried at 100°C in an oven. Then the discs were soaked with test chemicals at the rate of 50µg (dry weight) per disc for antibacterial analysis. For pour plate technique, one drop of bacterial suspension was taken in a sterile petri dish and approximately 20 ml of melted sterile nutrient agar (NA) (~45°C) was poured into the plate, and then mixed thoroughly with the direction of clockwise and anticlockwise. After solidification of the seeded NA medium, paper disc after soaking with test chemicals (2% in CHCl₃) were placed at the centre of the inoculated petri dish. A control plate was also maintained in each case with chloroform. Firstly, the plates were kept for 4 hrs. at low temperature (4°C) and the test chemicals diffused from disc to the surrounding medium by this time. The plates were then incubated at (35±2)°C for growth of test organisms and were observed at 24 hrs. intervals for two days. The activity was expressed in terms of inhibition zone diameter in mm. Each experiment was repeated thrice. The standard antibiotic Ampicillin from FISONS Ltd. (Bangladesh) was used as a positive control and compared with tested chemicals under identical conditions.

**Antifungal efficacy test**

The in vitro antifungal functionality tests of the synthesized chemicals were tested by mycelial growth test\(^{32}\). Required amount of medium was taken in a conical flask separately and was sterilized in autoclave. After autoclaving, weighted amount of test chemicals (2%) was added to the sterilized medium in
conical flask at the point of pouring to obtain the desired concentration. The flask was shaken thoroughly to mix the chemical with the medium homogeneously before pouring. The medium with definite concentration (2%) of chemical was poured at the rate of 10 µl in sterilized glass Petri dishes individually. Proper control was maintained separately with sterilized PDA (potato dextrose agar) medium without chemicals and three replications were prepared for each treatment. After solidification of medium, the fungal inoculums (5 mm approximately) were placed at the centre of each Petri dish in an inverted position. All the plates were inoculated at room temperature on the laboratory desk for five days. The linear growth of fungal colony was measured in two directions at right angle to each other after five days of incubation and average of three replicates was taken as the diameter of a colony in mm. The percentage inhibition of mycelial growth of test fungi was calculated as follow:

\[
I = \left(\frac{C - T}{C}\right) \times 100
\]

Where, \(I\) = percentage of inhibition, \(C\) = diameter of the fungal colony in control, \(T\) = diameter of the fungal colony in treatment. The antifungal results were compared with that of the standard antibiotic, Nystatin (100 µg dw./disc, BEXIMCO Pharm. Bangladesh Ltd.).

**Table 2.** Molecular formula of the test compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Name of the tested compounds</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5'-O-Octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{17}H\textsubscript{26}O\textsubscript{7}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5'-O-Octanoyl-2',3'-di-O-pentanoyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{40}O\textsubscript{8}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2',3'-Di-O-hexanoyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{29}H\textsubscript{46}O\textsubscript{9}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2',3'-Di-O-decanoyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{35}H\textsubscript{52}O\textsubscript{9}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2',3'-Di-O-lauroyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{31}H\textsubscript{52}O\textsubscript{9}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2',3'-Di-O-myristoyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{41}H\textsubscript{70}O\textsubscript{10}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5'-O-Octanoyl-2',3'-di-O-palmitoyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{49}H\textsubscript{86}O\textsubscript{10}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5'-O-Octanoyl-2',3'-di-O-pivaloyluridine</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{27}H\textsubscript{42}O\textsubscript{9}N\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compound Description</td>
<td>Molecular Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2’,3’-Di-O-methanesulphonyl-5’-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C₁₉H₃₀O₁₁N₂S₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2’,3’-Di-O-benzenesulphonyl-5’-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C₂₉H₃₄O₁₁N₂S₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2’,3’-Di-O-(2-bromobenzoyl)-5’-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C₃₁H₃₂O₉N₂Br₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2’,3’-Di-O-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-5’-O-octanoyluridine</td>
<td>C₃₁H₃₂O₉N₂Cl₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

*Synthesis and characterization*

In the present investigation, we carried out selective octanoylation of of uridine (1) with octanoyl chloride using the direct acylation method (Scheme 1-2 & Table 3 & 4). A series of derivatives of the resulting acylation products were prepared in order to gather supportive evidences for structure elucidation and also to obtain newer derivatives of synthetic and biological importance.

Our initial effort was to carry out selective acylation of uridine (1) with unimolecular amount of non-traditional acylating agent octanoyl chloride in dry pyridine at -5°C. Conventional work-up procedure, followed by removal of solvent and silica gel column chromatographic purification, we obtained the octanoyl derivative (2). This compound (2) was sufficiently pure for use in the next reactions. The IR spectrum of this compound showed the following characteristic peaks: 1728 (-CO) and 3358-3520 cm⁻¹ (br –OH stretching). In its ¹H-NMR spectrum, two two-proton multiplets at δ 2.34 {CH₃(CH₂)₅CH₂CO-} and 1.61 {CH₃(CH₂)₄CH₂CH₂CO-}, an eight-proton multiplet at δ 1.26 {CH₃(CH₂)₄(CH₂)₂CO-} and a three-proton multiplet at δ 0.87 {CH₃(CH₂)₆CO-} were due to the one octanoyl group, thereby suggesting the introduction of one octanoyl group in the molecule. The downfield shift of C-5’/proton to δ 5.81 (as dd, J = 2.1 and 12.1 Hz, 5’a) and 5.77 (as dd, J = 2.2 and 12.3 Hz, 5’b) from their usual values in the precursor compound (1) and the resonances of other protons in their anticipated positions, showed the presence of the octanoyl group at position 5’. The formation of 5’-O-octanoyluridine (2) might be due to higher reactivity of the sterically less hindered primary hydroxyl group of the ribose moiety of uridine (1). By complete analysis of the FTIR, ¹H-NMR and elemental data, the structure of this compound was assigned as 5’-O-octanoyluridine (2).
Scheme 1. Synthesis of 5′-O-octanoyluridine (Compound 2).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 2′/3′-di-O-acylated 5′-O-octanoyluridine esters (Compounds 3-13).

Table 3. Synthesized of uridine derivatives (Compounds 2-13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>( R_1=R_2 )</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>( R_1=R_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}<em>2)</em>{14}\text{CO-} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}<em>2)</em>{3}\text{CO-} )</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>( \text{(CH}_3)_3\text{CCO-} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}<em>2)</em>{4}\text{CO-} )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2^- )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}<em>2)</em>{8}\text{CO-} )</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{SO}_2^- )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}<em>2)</em>{10}\text{CO-} )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2-Br.C\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CO-}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}<em>2)</em>{12}\text{CO-} )</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4-Cl.C\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CO-}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The diol (2) was then allowed to react with pentanoyl chloride in dry C₆H₅N at freezing temperature. Usual work-up procedure provided the 2′,3′-di-O-pentanoyl derivative (3). The FTIR spectrum of compound 3 showed absorption band at 1758 cm⁻¹ for carbonyl stretching. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 3, the resonance peaks three four-proton multiplets at δ 2.35 \{2×CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂CO⁻\}, δ 1.61 \{2×CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂CO⁻\} and δ 1.38 \{2×CH₃CH₂(CH₂)₂CO⁻\} and one six-proton multiplet at δ 0.88 \{2×CH₃(CH₂)₃CO⁻\} correspond to the presence of two pentanoyl groups in the molecule. The deshielding of H-2′ and H-3′ protons to δ 4.52 (as d, J= 5.2 Hz) and δ 4.33 (as dd, J= 7.7 and 5.6 Hz) from their usual values (~ 4.00 ppm), showed the attachment of the two pentanoyl groups at positions 2′ and 3′. The mass spectra of the compound 3 showed a molecular ion peak at \(m/z\) [M+H]⁺ 539.60 which is corresponding to a molecular formula C₂₇H₄₂O₉N₂. Complete analysis of the FTIR, ¹H-NMR, mass spectra and elemental data, the structure of this compound was assigned as 5′-O-octanoyl-2′,3′-di-O-pentanoyluridine (3).

Further support for the structure accorded to the octanoyl derivative (2) was obtained by preparation and identification of the dihexanoate (4). The FTIR spectrum of this compound 4 showed absorption band at 1730 cm⁻¹ (C=O), thereby suggesting the presence of carbonyl group. In its ¹H-NMR spectrum provided two four-proton multiplets at δ 2.35 \{2×CH₃(CH₂)₃CH₂CO⁻\}, and δ 1.62 \{2×CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₂CO⁻\}, an eight-proton multiplet at δ 1.27 \{2×CH₃(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₂CO⁻\} and one six-proton multiplet at δ 0.85 \{2×CH₃(CH₂)₄CO⁻\} indicating the presence of two hexanoyl groups in the compound. The resonance for H-2′ and H-3′ protons appeared at δ 4.95 (as d, J= 5.2 Hz) and δ 4.39 (as dd, J= 7.8 and 5.6 Hz) which shifted downfield from their precursor compound (2) suggesting the attachment of the hexanoyl groups at positions 2′ and 3′. Mass spectra of the compound 4 showed a molecular ion peak at \(m/z\) [M+H]⁺ 567.50 which is corresponding to a molecular formula C₂₉H₄₆O₉N₂. The rest of the FTIR, ¹H-NMR, mass spectra and elemental data was in complete agreement with the structure accorded to the hexanoyl derivative as, 2′,3′-di-O-hexanoyl-5′-O-octanoyluridine (4).
Table 4. Physicochemical properties of the synthesized uridine derivatives (2-13).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>RT (h)</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt; value (%)</th>
<th>(%) Yield</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>semi solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>pasty mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>thick syrup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>pasty mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>needles, m.p. 49-50°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>needles, m.p. 64-66°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>needles, m.p. 65-67°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>semi solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>syrupy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>thick syrup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>pasty mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>thick syrup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, confirmation of the structure accorded to compound (2) was achieved by its conversion to and identification by ¹H-NMR of its di-O-decanoyl derivative (5). The ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 5 provided the following characteristic peaks: two four-proton multiplets at δ 2.36 {2×CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>7</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO-} and 1.60 {2×CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO-}, a twenty four-proton multiplet at δ 1.26 {2×CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO-} and a six-proton multiplet at δ 0.85 {2×CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>8</sub>CO-} indicating the introduction of two decanoyl groups to the molecule. In the showed a molecular ion peak at m/z [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 680.29 which is corresponding to a molecular formula C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>62</sub>O<sub>9</sub>N<sub>2</sub>. On the basis of complete analysis of the FTIR, ¹H-NMR, mass spectra and elemental data, the structure of this compound was accorded as 2’/3’-di-O-decanoyl-5’-O-octanoyluridine (5).

Next effort was to carry out lauroylation of the octanoate (2) and provided the lauroyl derivative (6). The FTIR spectrum of the compound (6) displayed
absorption band at 1758 cm$^{-1}$ due to carbonyl stretching. It’s $^1$H-NMR spectrum exhibited two four-proton multiplets at 2.37 \{2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_9$CH$_2$CO-\} and δ 1.59 \{2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_8$CH$_2$CH$_2$CO-\} a thirty two-proton multiplet at δ 1.21 \{2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_8$(CH$_2$)$_2$CO-\} and a six-proton multiplet at δ 0.88 \{2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{10}$CO-\}, therefore, suggesting the presence of two lauroyl groups in the compound (6). Complete analysis of the FTIR, $^1$H-NMR and elemental data was in complete agreement with the structure established as 2',3'-di-O-lauroyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine (6). Myristoylation of compound (2) provided the FTIR spectrum, absorption band at 1736 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to carbonyl group. The presence of four-proton multiplet at δ 2.35 \{2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{11}$CH$_2$CO-\}, a forty-four-proton multiplet at δ 1.26 \{2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{11}$CH$_2$CO-\} and a six-proton multiplet at δ 0.88 \{2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{12}$CO-\}, in its $^1$H-NMR spectrum were due to two myristoyl groups in the molecule. Complete analysis of this compound was in complete agreement with the structure accorded to it as the structure of the myristoate was assigned as 2',3'-di-O-myristoyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine (7).

The palmitoyl (8) was ascertained by observing the following resonance peaks: δ 2.36 \{4H, m, 2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{13}$CH$_2$CO-\}, δ 1.24 \{52H, m, 2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{13}$CH$_2$CO-\} and δ 0.88 \{6H, m, 2×CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{14}$CO-\}. The introduction of the palmitoyl groups at position 2' and 3' were indicated by appearance of H-2' and H-3' resonance peaks at δ 5.02 and δ 4.87, deshielded considerably from its precursor diol (2). The rest of the FTIR, $^1$H-NMR and elemental analysis was compatible with structure assigned as 5'-O-octanoyl-2',3'-di-O-palmitoyluridine (8). The structure of the octanoyl derivative (2) was further supported by its conversion to the pivaloyl derivative (9). The FTIR spectrum showed carbonyl stretching band at 1740 cm$^{-1}$. In its $^1$H-NMR spectrum, a characteristic eighteen-proton singlet at δ 1.21 \{2×(CH$_3$)$_3$CCO-\} was due to the methyl protons of two pivaloyl groups. By analyzing the FTIR, $^1$H-NMR and elemental data completely, the structure of the dipivaloate was established as 5'-O-octanoyl-2',3'-di-O-pivaloyluridine (9). Next, we methanesulfonoate (10) was obtained in 83% yield and the FTIR spectrum provided the absorption bands at 1765 cm$^{-1}$ due to C=O stretching and 1365 cm$^{-1}$ for –SO$_2$ stretching. The $^1$H-NMR spectrum, of this compound showed the following characteristic peaks: two three-proton singlets at δ 3.10 and δ 3.05 \{2×CH$_3$SO$_2$-\} ascertaining the presence of two methanesulfonyl groups in the molecule. Complete analysis of the FTIR, $^1$H-NMR and elemental data led us to establish its structure as 2',3'-di-O-methanesulfonyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine (10).

The 2',3'-di-O-benzenesulfonyl derivative (11) was also prepared and in its $^1$H-NMR spectrum, the characteristic peaks at δ 7.90 (4H, m), δ 7.56 (2H, m) and δ 7.41 (4H, m) corresponded the aromatic protons of two phenyl groups in
the compound. The downfield shift of H-2' proton to δ 4.76 and H-3' proton to δ 4.56 from their precursor diol (2) values, ascertained the attachment of benzenesulfonyl groups at 2' and 3' positions. The rest of the FTIR, 1H-NMR and elemental analysis was in complete agreement with the structure accorded to the benzenesulfonyl derivative as, 2',3'-di-O-benzenesulfonyl-5'-O-octanoyluridine (11). Further, the confirmation of the structure of the 5'-O-octanoyl derivative (2) was achieved by its transformation into and identification of the di-O-(2-bromobenzoyl) (12) and di-O-(4-chlorobenzoyl) (13) derivatives.

Thus, selective octanoylation of uridine (1) was successfully carried out using the direct acylation method. A single, monosubstitution product was isolated pure in each case in reasonably high yields. The octanoyl derivative was further transformed into its different acyl derivatives. These transformations were conducted in order to gather supportive evidences for elucidating structures of the parent acylation products and also to obtain new products of synthetic and biological importance. All these newly synthesized products (Table 3) may be employed as important precursors for the modification of the uridine (1) molecule at different positions.

**Screening of microbial efficacy**

From the experimental results obtained by using a number of selected human pathogenic bacteria (as shown in Figure 2, 3 & 5) were found that selectively acylated uridine derivatives 10, 11 and 13 showed good inhibition against Gram-positive bacteria while compounds 10 and 13 were also very active against Gram-negative bacteria. We also observed that some compounds such as 10 and 13 are highly active against both the Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms. So, these compounds may be targeted for future studies for their usage as broad spectrum antibiotics. In general, it has been observed that antibacterial results of the selectively acylated uridine derivatives obtained by using various acylating agents follow the order for Gram-positive organisms: 

$$10 > 13 > 9 > 11 = 3 > 4 > 5 > 12 = 7$$

and Gram-negative bacteria follow the order: 

$$10 > 13 = 11 > 6 = 4 > 5 > 9.$$
From this study we found that among the acylated products, compound 10 showed effective activities (17 mm) against both B. subtilis & E. coli and compounds 13 (16 mm) and 10 (15 mm) showed high activity against the E. coli and P. aeruginosa microorganisms, respectively. Some of the tested chemicals showed moderate to marked inhibition against the bacterial pathogens employed. It was also found that some tested chemicals were unable to show any
inhibition at all against the bacterial pathogens employed. It was also observed that the uridine derivatives were found comparatively more effective against Gram-positive bacteria than that of Gram-negative bacteria.

The results obtained from the present investigation of antifungal studies mentioned in Figure 4 and 6 clearly demonstrate that compounds 5 showed the highest inhibition (60.0%) against the Rhizopers nigricans. Excellent inhibition was observed in case of compound 10 (55.0%) in which the percent inhibition is very close to the standard antibiotic Nystatin against Aspergillus niger. Compounds 4 (50.0%) and 13 (50.0%) showed the good inhibition against the Aspergillus niger and Rhizopers nigricans, respectively. However, most of the compounds showed to be less active or toxic to the selected plant pathogens as compared to the standard antibiotic (Nystatin).

Figure 4. The percentage inhibition of mycelial growth of test fungi by the test compounds.
So, it was found that the newly synthesized and reported compounds 5 and 10 were very much effective against both fungal strains. These antimicrobial efficacies of our tested compounds were in accordance with the results we observed before\textsuperscript{34,35}. The brine shrimp lethality assay is considered a useful tool for assessment of toxicity. The cytotoxic activity of the acylated derivatives of uridine (Scheme 1, 2, Table 1) in the brine shrimp lethality bioassay showed different rate mortality with different concentrations. It is expected that this piece of work employing uridine derivatives as test compounds will open the scope for further work on the development of pesticides and medicines sectors.
CONCLUSION

The synthesized uridine derivatives have shown promising antibacterial and antifungal activities. Out of twelve active compounds, three of them (5, 10 and 15) have shown very good antimicrobial inhibiting activity. However, these three compounds have also shown significant cytotoxic activity against brine shrimp lethality assay. Therefore, it is expected that the newly acylated uridine derivatives might show potential antiviral, antidiabetic, anticancer and anti-inflammatory activities.
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