Molecular Modelling and Compound Activity of The Escherichia Coli and Staphylococcus Aureus DNA Gyrase B ATPase Site
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ABSTRACT

Development of new treatment ligands that can distinguish *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) from *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) is important because of bacterium multiple drug resistance. High-throughput virtual screening (HTVS), docking-scoring and receiver operating characteristic curves are essential components of computational methods used in designing potential new ligands.

Here, we investigated the *E. coli* and *S. aureus* DNA gyrase B active site; amino acid, water molecule, and ligand interactions using crystallographic data and HTVS to determine potential hits.

Trial and test sets were prepared from the 5000 and 50000 compounds of the ZINC databases with known *E. coli* and *S. aureus* DNA gyrase B ATPase inhibitor molecules. Trial sets were evaluated and screened by determining the contribution of water molecules to interactions.

Data analysis led to the identification of novel interaction patterns, which were screened over a test set; 20 maximum scored compounds were identified and further tested against the novobiocin standard with gel-based *E. coli* and *S. aureus* supercoiling assays. The highest scoring N’-(1-naphthylcarbonyl)-2, 1, 3-benzothiadiazole-5-carboxylic acid hydrazide structure showed selective inhibition with *E. coli* and *S. aureus* DNA gyrase B ATPases.

We determined that in terms of selectivity, some water molecules have a major impact on amino acid-ligand interactions.
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INTRODUCTION

*Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) are well known gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria that are a common part of normal human flora\(^1\). When the normal balance of human flora is disrupted for example by immune system deficiencies such as those observed with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), both bacteria assume opportunistic behaviour, which can subsequently lead to death\(^2\).

In clinical practice, one of the biggest problems encountered with diseases such as HIV is multi-drug resistance. Unfortunately, over the last 40 years, no new drugs (with the exception of rifampicin and rifabutin) have been introduced and traditional drug combination treatments no longer prevent drug resistance\(^3\).

Classically, with antibacterial compound design, when DNA replication or transcription at the cell division level is targeted, DNA gyrase enzyme and topoisomerase IV inhibitions are the first options for gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria\(^4\).

Recently, *E. coli* and *S. aureus* DNA Gyrase B X-ray crystal structures (Pdb id: 3G7E and 3G7B) and binding dynamics with the inhibitors “prop-2-yn-1-yl [[5-(4-piperidin-1-yl-2-pyridin-3-yl-1,3-thiazol-5-yl)-1H-pyrazol-3-yl]methyl] carbamate” (1a) and “methyl([5-[4-(4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)-2-phenyl-1,3-thiazol-5-yl]-1H-pyrazol-3-yl]methyl) carbamate” (1b), were respectively released from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Figure 1)\(^5,6\).

![Figure 1: Chemical structure of ligands from 3G7E (1a) and 3G7B (1b)](image)

When both amino-acid sequences were aligned and scored by a matrix adjustment composition method to verify similarities (using BlastP) entire identity alignment was 100/206 (49%), and on the basis of positives 127/206 (62%) similarity.\(^7\) When the amino acids of both protein sequences are overlapped as pairs (in the whole chain) the pairwise root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) matrix value is 1.50, and the backbone value is 1.19.

*E. coli* DNA Gyrase B (Pdb id: 3G7E) and compound (1a) interactions showed that the nitrogen of the thiazole ring system is in a H bond interaction with Phe 104 and HOH 443, where one of the N atoms of the pyrazole ring system is a H
bond interaction with Ile 78, Gly 77, Thr 165, Asp 73 and HOH 408. There is a H bond bridge between the N atom of the carbamate moiety of the ligand-HOH 406 and ASP 73.

**Figure 2:** The active site-ligand interactions in *E. coli*

In the case of compound (1b) and the binding site of *S. aureus* (Pdb id: 3G7B), water molecules are dominantly active by setting boundaries to positioning such as HOH 263, which is trapped in the cavity. Furthermore, the H containing N atom of the pyrazole ring is oriented to Thr173, Gly85, Asp 81 amino acids by using a HOH 235 bridge.

**Figure 3:** The active site-ligand interactions in *S. aureus*
Scorings from BlastP and ligand-active site interactions suggest that positioning of some water molecules especially HOH 408, 443 in *E. coli* and HOH 235, 263 in *S. aureus* DNA Gyrase B ATPase sites are essential for bridge and boundary functions, which might cause fractional differences among ligand designs for the both DNA Gyrase B’s in terms of specific selectivity.

Molecular docking and HTVS are two basic tools, which are widely used in computer-assisted drug design\(^8,9\). Docking software consists of two basic elements; simulation algorithms that produce “exposure-pose” or “pose”, which determine how structures such as ligand-protein or protein-protein interact with each other and scoring algorithms made of certain mathematical functions that rank these poses\(^9\).

Usually, docking software determines poses that are responsible for the most probable interactions. However, until recently, scoring functions that were supposed to reflect the relationship between activity and pose were not useful, because many different biological parameters, including basic solvation parameters cannot sufficiently be revealed by mathematical algorithms\(^10-14\). In all virtual screening studies, test sets that consist of compounds selected from databanks are enriched, by using a cluster of compounds that have previously been experimentally proven to be active on the related target. When the ratio of active compounds in the test set is known and when the correct pose is determined, it is possible to measure statistically and numerically the quality of a hypothesis that is screening based by using methods such as receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves\(^15-18\).

In the present study, 36 *in vitro* experimental active DNA Gyrase ATPase inhibitor ligands (true positives) were added to the 5000 trial and 50000 test sets of compounds for enrichment purposes and later prepared for docking based HTVS. Subsequently, a trial set was subjected to a series of docking processes with *E. Coli* and *S. aureus* DNA Gyrase B ATPase sites. During docking processes, several GRID files called; “restricted” or “unrestricted” were prepared either by including or excluding the previously referred to water molecules. Scoring and further evaluation of ROC curves with these files identified if the interaction pattern including or excluding water molecules can be considered as “important” or “necessary” for an inhibition process. Later, the selected GRID files were similarly experimented over the enriched test set of 50000 compounds. Finally, the top 20 scoring compounds were selected and combined and subjected to gel-based supercoiling assays of both microorganisms for inhibition measurement, hypothesis testing and hit finding.
**METHODOLOGY**

PDB files of the “Crystal structure of *E. coli* Gyrase B co-complexed with inhibitor” and “*S. aureus* Gyrase B co-complex with inhibitor” (PDB ID; 3G7E and 3G7B) were downloaded from RCSB Protein Data Bank (2). Preparation of protein structures, trial and test sets, GRID files, docking and scoring were performed using algorithms from Maestro modules (Schrodinger Inc, USA). Special “ROC Curves SVL” of MOE (Chemical Computing Group Inc., Canada) software were used during preparation of ROC curves and interaction graphics.

After screening, compounds presumed to be active were purchased from Molport Chemicals (Letonia) with minimum 99.5 % purity and used after checking their LC-MSMS spectral and elemental analysis results. Biological activities of compounds were assessed by *E. coli* and *S. aureus* Gyrase Supercoiling Assay Kits (Inspiralis Inc. UK- Gyrase Supercoiling Assay kits-K0003 and SAS4002) using BIO-RAD (CA, USA) gel electrophoresis and imaging systems against novobiocin (CAS; 1476-53-5, AppliChem, Germany) as a reference.

**Preparation of protein structures**

3G7E and 3G7B PDB files were subjected to protein preparation wizard workflow (Maestro), for hydrogen insertion and rotamer adjustment, and H-bond optimization using OPLS 2005 as the energy parameters.

**Preparation of trial and test sets**

The process begins with the reduction of 1442716 compounds in the content Zinc data base of “clean-leads-subset (# 11)” (LogP values < 3.5, molecular weight < 350 and the number of rotational bonds <= 7) to 50000 randomly selected compounds as a test set. The trial set was evaluated by the test set with a second random selection of 5000 from this group. Later these two sets were transferred to the Lig Prep function of Maestro prepared for their tautomers and ionized forms at various pH levels (pH= 7 ± 2). Later duplicates were eliminated with an automatic script. Thirty-six activity proved ligands were prepared in the same manner and added for enrichment purposes to both sets before docking and scoring.

**Preparation of grid files**

Both bacterium DNA Gyrase ATPase active site GRID files were prepared using Maestro’s Glide-Receptor Grid Generation tool. Receptor binding pockets were defined by picking 6 Å° surrounding of both ligands existing in each PDB file. During preparation, the original ligands were excluded and a scaling factor of 1.0 and a partial charge cut-off of 0.25 were used as parameters for the Van der Walls radius-scaling factor. For *S. aureus* Gyrase B active site (PDB ID; 3G7B), three different GRID files were prepared;
- Without water molecules (without restrictions/ places the compounds considering the original ligand as centroid)
- With HOH 235 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 235 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235)
- With HOH 235 and 263 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 235 and/or HOH 263 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235 and 263)
- Similarly for *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID; 3G7E), three different GRID files were prepared;
  - Without water molecules (without restrictions/ places the compounds considering the original ligand as centroid)
  - With HOH 408 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408)
  - With HOH 408 and 443 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 and/or HOH 443 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408 and 443)

**Docking and scoring**

All docking experiments were performed by using Maestro’s Glide-docking tool. The basic settings for HTVS, SP and XP algorithms were set as;

- Treating receptor as rigid and ligands as flexible,
- Dock without using core pattern comparison algorithm,
- Use constrains from GRID files if needed,
- Write 1,000,000 poses per docking run and perform top 5 poses a post-docking minimization.
- In trial sets for both bacterium DNA Gyrase ATPase active site, HTVS algorithms were performed by using each GRID file stated.

With the enriched test set of *S. aureus* Gyrase B active site (PDB ID; 3G7B), restricted GRID files with HOH 235 and 263 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 235 and/or HOH 263 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235 and 263) was used. 50,000 prepared compounds were included with their isomers and tautomers during docking with HTVS and SP algorithms whereas a cut-off of 20,000 were experimented and re-scored in place by XP algorithms for computational and time concerns.

For *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID; 3G7E) active site, the enriched test set was docked by using a GRID file without water molecules (without restrictions/placing the compounds consider original ligand as centroid) and GRID HOH 408 and 443 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 and/or HOH 443 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408 and 443) in
HTVS mode for detailed evaluation of trial set ROC curves. Additional dockings were accomplished with GRID HOH 408 and 443 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 and/or HOH 443 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408 and 443) in SP mode and with a cut-off of 20000 scored in place by XP modes for computational and time concerns.

All dockings were ranked according to their docking score and e-model score for further evaluation of ROC curves.

**ROC curve evaluation**

All ranked results of docking experiments for each bacterium were transferred to the MOE software (Chemical Computing Group Inc., Canada) as SDF files and compiled as databases. Later databases were ranked according to their docking and e-model scores as active 1 and non-predicted 0 and processed with “ROC Curves SVL” using appropriate thresholds.

After assessment of the curves and poses, 20 compounds, nine of which had the highest e-model score during waters 235 and 263 included XP docking to 3G7B and 11 compounds which had highest e-model score during water 408 included XP docking to 3G7E were selected for gel based inhibition assay against standard novobiocin.

**Biological evaluation**

All 20 compounds were tested with the “Gel Based inhibition Assay” at 1mg/20, 50, 100 µL. concentrations both in *S. aureus* (for 3G7B) and *E. coli* (for 3G7E) with novobiocin standard for comparison purposes.

DNA gyrase supercoiling assays were performed with a Gyrase Supercoiling Assay Kit (Inspiralis) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and analysed by monitoring the conversion of relaxed pBR322 plasmid to its supercoiled form using DNA gel electrophoresis. Essentially, 1 U of either *E. coli* or *S. aureus* DNA gyrase was first diluted in 5×gyrase buffer and incubated in an assay buffer (35 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), 24 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl 2, 2 mM DTT, 1.8 mM spermidine, 1 mM ATP, 6.5% (w/v) glycerol, and 0.1 mg/mL BSA), with 0.5 µg of pBR322 plasmid and purchase twenty compound dilutions at 37 °C for 30 min. Reactions were stopped with the addition of stop dye (40% sucrose, 100 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mg/mL bromophenol blue) and loaded onto TAE agarose gel (1%). Gels were visualized using a gel documentation system (Bio-Rad ChemiDoc). Since high levels of DMSO are known to affect DNA gyrase activity, titration was used to determine the minimum amount of DMSO to be used in the assays, and 5% DMSO (with negligible or no effect on the gyrase) was chosen to dilute the compounds19.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The trial set (randomly selected 5000 compounds) and the test set (randomly selected 50000 compounds) both enriched with 36 active ligands, were docked to *S. aureus* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B) and *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) ATP binding sites by using Glide-docking HTVS, SP, XP protocols (as detailed in methodology section).

During docking processes the novobiocin structure was bound to its original position with an RMSD range of 0.83-0.94 Å. This revealed similar interactions with original crystallographic data in both cases.

A weakness of a docking program is its scoring functions. In this study we used maximum docking and e-model scores for ROC curves to evaluate accuracy powers in discriminating interaction patterns. ROC curves used in these experiments are plots of the true positive rate (sensitivity) against the false positive rate (1-specificity) for the different possible cut points. They show the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity where any increase in sensitivity will be accompanied by a decrease in specificity. The closer any curve follows the left-hand border and then the top border of the ROC space, the higher the accuracy. The accuracy of our tests depends on how the groups being tested are separated into those with and without experimental activity. Accuracy is measured by the area under the ROC curve. An area of 1 represents a perfect test; an area of 0.5 represents a poor test during evaluation.

**Trial set docking, scoring and ROC curves evaluation**

The ROC curves of HTVS dockings for the *S. aureus* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B) trial set without water molecules, with HOH 235, with HOH 235 and HOH 263 are shown in Figure 4.

The highest AUC score obtained from all dockings of the trial set using the HTVS protocol was the one scored/ranked according to an e-model score with bond restrictions, either with HOH 235 and/or HOH 263 or those, which defined ligand position considering the position of HOH 235 and 263. Results paralleled our expectations, as ligand 1b also used these water molecules in interacting with the active site. In general e-model score reflected solvation parameters more accurately compared to maximum docking score (Table 1). GRID files with bond restrictions either with HOH 235 and/or HOH 263 or those that defined the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235 and 263 were selected and used for SP and XP dockings.
Figure 4: ROC curves of trial set docked to *S. aureus* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B) ATP binding site by using HTVS protocol with different GRID files.
Table 1: AUC scores of *S. aureus* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B) trial Set with different GRID files and scoring functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Max. docking score</th>
<th>Max. e-model score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTVS without water (without restrictions/ places the compounds considering the original ligand as centroid)</td>
<td>0.6268</td>
<td>0.9388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTVS with water 235 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 235 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235)</td>
<td>0.6625</td>
<td>0.9265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTVS with water 235 and 263 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 235 and/or HOH 263 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235 and 263)</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ROC curves for HTVS docking of the *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) trial set without water, with HOH 408, with HOH 408 and HOH 443 are combined in Figure 5.
Conflicting and poor AUC results led to repeat test set experiments. From the ligand 1a-binding site interactions it seemed that at least HOH 408 was used by ligand 1a for the H-bond bridging purposes with active site amino acids Ile 78, Gly 77, Thr 165 and Asp 73. Therefore, in further experiments, we used GRID files without water molecules (without restrictions/ places the compounds considering the original ligand as centroid) and with HOH 408 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408) to dock the test set of *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) ATP binding sites by using Glide-docking HTVS, SP, XP protocols. AUC scores of *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) trial set with different GRID files and scoring functions are detailed in Table 2.

**Table 2:** AUC scores of *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) trial set with different GRID files and scoring functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>E. coli</em> Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) Trial Set</th>
<th>Max. docking score</th>
<th>Max. e-model score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTVS without water (without restrictions/ places the compounds considering the original ligand as centroid)</td>
<td>0.4974</td>
<td>0.6585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTVS with water 408 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408)</td>
<td>0.6257</td>
<td>0.5922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTVS with water 408 and 443 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 and/OR HOH 443 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408 and 443)</td>
<td>0.3923</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5:** ROC curves of trial set docked to *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) ATP binding site by using HTVS protocol with different GRID files
Test set docking, scoring and ROC curves evaluation

The test set evaluations for *S. aureus* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B), GRID file with water 235 and 263 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 235 and/or HOH 263 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235 and 263) was used. ROC curves were produced both with rankings according to HTVS, using maximum docking and e-model scores then SP and XP protocols were run only based on e-model scoring functions with a cut-off of maximum scoring 20000 compounds. (Figure 6).

An AUC value decrease was an expected outcome while changing sets from trial to test set as the number of compounds increased ten times. Also changing the docking algorithm from HTVS to more complex parametric SP and XP algorithms contribute to this outcome. However, results were still promising for selection of active ligands with e-model scoring functions (Table 3).

![3G7B docked with waters 235 and 263 in HTVS algorithm, selected and ranked according to maximum docking score](image1)

![3G7B docked with waters 235 and 263 in HTVS algorithm, selected and ranked according to maximum e-model score](image2)

![3G7B docked with waters 235 and 263 in SP algorithm after a cut off of 20000 compounds, selected and ranked according to maximum e-model score](image3)

![3G7B docked with waters 235 and 263 in XP algorithm after a cut off of 20000 compounds, selected and ranked according to maximum e-model score](image4)

**Figure 6:** ROC curves of test set docked to *S. aureus* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B) ATP binding site by using HTVS, SP and XP protocols with HOH 235 and 263 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 235 and/or HOH 263 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 235 and 263) GRID file
Table 3: AUC scores of *S. aureus* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B) test set with different docking algorithms and scoring functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. aureus Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7B) Test Set with water 235 and 263</th>
<th>Max. docking score</th>
<th>Max. e-model score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTVS</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.8468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In trial and test set HTVS experiments of *S. aureus* (PDB ID: 3G7B), with HOH 235 and 263 there was a high AUC result of 0.952 and 0.8468, respectively in the e-model score ROC curve evaluation, which means that ligands that bind to these sites has to make an H-bond with HOH 235 and must bind a space that is restricted to these waters. All results for *S. aureus* (PDB ID: 3G7B) were very consistent with an average 30% difference between the docking score and e-model score, which means the ROC curves separate the true positives with very high frequency if the e-model scores were taken as a base. For further SP algorithm analysis the test set of 50000 compounds were assessed for at least 10 poses in the active site. An evaluation score by XP mode dockings with a cut-off of 20000 compounds was preferred to reduce computational load and time.

Given results with the trial set of *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) were contradictory, we ran HTVS of the test set with/without water (without restrictions/placing the compounds considered original ligand as centroid) and with HOH 408 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408) GRID files were again used to see if results were affected by the random selected compounds of the trial set. The results were then evaluated for both scoring algorithms for judgment and decision making with SP and XP algorithms. (Figure 7 and table 4).
The results for HOH 408 GRID file scoring with the e-model were consistent with previous experiments and algorithm logic. This file was used for further SP and re-scoring XP docking experiments were performed under the same conditions previously described for time and computational concerns. (Figure 8)

In all HTVS experiments that were run according to these GRID files, the e-model score process separated true from false positives more successfully than when compared to docking scores, except for *E. coli*'s with the HOH 408 GRID file where the docking score had an AUC of 0.6257.

*E. coli*'s unrestricted file scored better with HOH 408 and with HOH 408 and 443 files in general. This is of interest as e-model score algorithms are designed in such a manner for reflecting solvation parameters perfectly to docking score by calculating the positions of the incidental waters.
Random compound selection in the trial set may have been the reason for this unpredicted result. We therefore repeated HTVS dockings of the test set with higher scoring, unrestricted and with HOH 408 GRID files. A number increase from 5000 to 50000 resulted in inconsistency disappearance and the e-model score of HOH 408 GRID file AUC was 0.6407. Further SP and XP simulations for *E. coli* by using this file were warranted.

During these processes, although ROC AUCs decreased from HTVS to XP algorithms gradually, a combination of the top 20 scoring compounds in the context of each set were selected for in-vitro *E. coli* and *S. aureus* DNA Gyrase gel based supercoiling assay against, novobiocin standard.

**Table 4:** AUC scores of *E. coli* Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) test set with different GRID files and scoring functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>E. coli</em> Gyrase B (PDB ID: 3G7E) test set</th>
<th>Max. docking score</th>
<th>Max. e-model score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTVS without water (without restrictions/places the compounds considering the original ligand as centroid)</td>
<td>0.4489</td>
<td>0.5523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTVS with water 408 (with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408)</td>
<td>0.5327</td>
<td>0.6407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP with water 408 with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP with water 408 with restrictions to make bond either with HOH 408 or define the position of ligand considering the position of HOH 408)</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.6319</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High scoring compounds of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* test sets selected with XP algorithms with HOH 235 and 263 and with HOH 408 GRID files for further supercoiling assays are listed in descending order in Tables 5 and 6.

**Biological activity**

After screening, 11 compounds from the *E. coli* test set, and nine compounds from the *S. aureus* were tested against standard novobiocin using *E. coli* and *S. aureus* Gyrase Supercoiling Assay Kits (Inspiralis). All compounds were applied in a range of 1 mg/20µL, 1 mg/50µL and 1 mg/100µL (w/v) dilutions to gel electrophoresis according to instructions of manufacturer. Post run staining was completed using ethidium bromide solution. All results are combined in Figures
The first nine compounds (\(S. \text{ aureus} \ 1-9\) test set) followed by the next 11 (\(E. \text{ coli} \ 1-11\)). All numbering and structures are matched with Tables 5 and 6 and are aligned with descending order maximum e-model scores.

**Table 5:** Compounds which received highest e-model score during waters 235 and 263 included XP docking to 3G7B and selected for “Gel Based Supercoiling Assay”

**Table 6:** Compounds which received highest e-model score during water 408 included XP docking to 3G7E and selected for “Gel Based Supercoiling Assay”
Figure 9: 1 mg/20µL (w/v) dilution *E. coli* DNA Gyrase gel electrophoresis results, supercoiled (r. plasmid+gyrase), relaxed (r. plasmid), novobiocin (r. plasmid+gyrase+novobiocin)

Figure 10: 1 mg/50µL (w/v) dilution *E. coli* DNA Gyrase gel electrophoresis results, supercoiled (r. plasmid+gyrase), relaxed (r. plasmid), novobiocin (r. plasmid+gyrase+novobiocin)

Figure 11: 1 mg/100µL (w/v) dilution *E. coli* DNA Gyrase gel electrophoresis results, supercoiled (r. plasmid+gyrase), relaxed (r. plasmid), novobiocin (r. plasmid+gyrase+novobiocin)

Figure 12: 1 mg/20µL (w/v) dilution *S. aureus* DNA Gyrase gel electrophoresis results, supercoiled (r. plasmid+gyrase), relaxed (r. plasmid), novobiocin (r. plasmid+gyrase+novobiocin)
Eleven compounds from the test set of *E. coli*, except compound 7 at a 1/20 mg/µL dilution, showed no activity over *E. coli* DNA gyrase supercoiling whereas compounds 2, 5, 7 and 10 showed promising inhibitory activity in a *S. aureus* DNA gyrase supercoiling assay.

In contrast, except for compound 2 and 3 of *S. aureus* of the test set all compounds in all concentrations showed remarkable activity in *S. aureus* DNA gyrase supercoiling assay. Compound 4 and 7 showed a moderate activity in *E. coli* DNA gyrase supercoiling.

Table 7 shows detailed activities for compounds in *E. coli* and *S. aureus* DNA Gyrase supercoiling assays.

Aside from the highest scoring compounds; 1 and 5 from the *S. aureus* test set, *E. coli* test set compounds 2, 5, and 10 selectively inhibited *S. aureus* DNA Gyrase supercoiling without effecting *E. coli*.

**In vitro** results are of interest because they show that the ROC curve evaluation was efficient and consistent for either separating actives from inactivity or determining the type of interaction. In addition, the evaluation results of at least 90%
Water molecules not only have an important impact on positioning but contribute to ligand selectivity by inhibiting the DNA Gyrase ATPase binding site.

CONCLUSION

Multiple drug resistance is an important and major issue in immune deficiency cases. Normally simple and common human flora bacterium, such as *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, can cause serious complications during treatment. In this study, with the help of X-ray crystallographic structures of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* DNA gyrase B, we attempted to combine the use of ROC curves with docking-scoring algorithms to verify the role of water molecules over ligand-active site interactions for identifying selective target structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound No</th>
<th>Zinc Code</th>
<th><em>E. coli</em> Supercoiling Assay</th>
<th><em>S. aureus</em> Supercoiling Assay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>1/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> 1</td>
<td>ZINC00155744</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ZINC00368254</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ZINC00610552</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ZINC03067000</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ZINC00029706</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ZINC00441071</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ZINC05286123</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ZINC00055814</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ZINC00054801</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> 1</td>
<td>ZINC00033022</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ZINC00549862</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ZINC00338129</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ZINC00411052</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ZINC00054477</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ZINC00172311</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ZINC02298400</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ZINC02272064</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ZINC06546062</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ZINC00050070</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ZINC03838842</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our study results show that water molecules HOH 235 and 263 in the *S. aureus* DNA gyrase B active site play a crucial role during ligand active site interactions. This must be taken into consideration in molecular modeling studies of *S. aureus* DNA gyrase B because 8/9 ligands showed activity during the gel based inhibition assay and ROC curve AUC scores were high.

ROC curves successfully determined true positives from false positives during docking-scoring studies, if AUC scores were greater than 0.70.

In gel-based gyrase assays and docking studies, the maximum e-model scores of the N’-(1-naphthylcarbonyl)-2, 1, 3-benzothiadiazole-5-carboxyhydrazide structure was validated as having selective activity at all concentrations tested.

Further study of these selective ligands and additional extensive review of binding modes with other water molecules warrants future study. Studies to synthesize more effective derivatives using other facilitating computational tools such as molecular interaction are warranted.
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